

THE VITALI COVERING THEOREM

BRIAN WHITE

As in the 5-Times Covering Theorem, if $B \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, we let

$$\widehat{B} = \{q \in \mathbf{R}^d : \text{dist}(q, B) < 2 \text{diam}(B)\}.$$

In particular, if B is a closed ball, then \widehat{B} is the open ball with the same center and with 5 times the radius.

Theorem (The Vitali Covering Theorem). *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a family of closed balls of positive radii in \mathbf{R}^d . Then there is a sequence B_1, B_2, \dots (finite or infinite) of disjoint balls in \mathcal{F} with the following property. If \mathcal{F} covers S finely, then*

$$(1) \quad S \setminus \cup_{i \leq n} B_i \subset \cup_{i > n} \widehat{B}_i$$

for every n , and

$$(2) \quad \lambda(S \setminus \cup_i B_i) = 0.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{F}^* be the set of balls $B(a, r) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $r < (|a| + 1)^{-1}$. Note that each ball in \mathcal{F}^* has diameter < 2 .

Claim: There is no infinite sequence of disjoint balls in \mathcal{F}^* with diameters bounded away from 0.

To prove the claim, suppose to the contrary that $\mathbf{B}(a_i, r_i)$ is an infinite sequence of disjoint balls in \mathcal{F}^* with $r_i > \delta > 0$. Then $a_i < 1/\delta$. Thus the a_i would be an infinite sequence of points in a bounded subset of \mathbf{R}^n and thus would have a convergent sequence. But that's impossible since $|a_i - a_j| \geq r_i + r_j > 2\delta$ for $i \neq j$. This proves the claim.

Thus the finiteness condition of the 5-Times Covering Lemma is satisfied, so by that lemma, there is a sequence B_1, B_2, \dots of disjoint balls in \mathcal{F}^* such that (1) holds.

It remains to show that (2) holds.

Case 1: S lies in a bounded set $B(0, R) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m : |x| \leq R\}$.

Let I be the set indices i such that $B_i \cap S$ is nonempty. Since each B_i has diameter ≤ 1 , each \widehat{B}_i has diameter ≤ 5 . Thus if $i \in I$, \widehat{B}_i is contained in $\mathbf{B}(0, R + 10)$ and therefore $\mathbf{B}_i \in \mathbf{B}(0, R + 10)$. Thus

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} \lambda(B_i) &= \lambda(\cup_{i \in I} B_i) \\ &\leq \lambda(\mathbf{B}(0, R + 10)) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (1),

$$S \setminus \cup_i B_i \subset \cup_{i \in I, i \geq n} \widehat{B}_i$$

for each n , so

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^*(S \setminus \cup_i B_i) &\leq \sum_{i \in I, i \geq n} \lambda(\widehat{B}_i) \\ &= 5^d \sum_{i \in I, i \geq n} \lambda(B_i)\end{aligned}$$

By (3), this last expression tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of (2) in Case 1.

Case 2: S is any subset of \mathbf{R}^d . Let $S_n = \{x \in S : |x| \leq n\}$. By Case 1,

$$(4) \quad \lambda(S_n \setminus \cup_i B_i) = 0.$$

Since

$$S \setminus \cup_i B_i = (\cup_n S_n) \setminus \cup_i B_i = \cup_n (S_n \setminus \cup_i B_i),$$

it follows from (4) that $\lambda(S \setminus \cup_i B_i) = 0$. □