

# Lecture 1

Benjamin Church

July 18, 2020

## 1 Holomorphic Functions

**Definition:** We say that a complex function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is *holomorphic* at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  if the limit,

$$f'(z) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+w) - f(z)}{w}$$

exists in which case we call its value  $f'(z)$  the *complex derivative* of  $f$  at  $z$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Notice that the limit here means that  $|h| \rightarrow 0$  so  $h$  can go to zero from any direction.

**Example 1.1.** Consider  $f = z^2$ . Then,

$$f'(z) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{(z+w)^2 - z^2}{w} = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{z^2 + 2zw + w^2 - z^2}{w} = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{2zw + w^2}{w} = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} (2z + w) = 2z$$

as expected.

**Example 1.2.** Consider  $f(z) = \bar{z}$ . Then,

$$f'(z) = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{z+w} - \bar{z}}{w} = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{z} + \bar{w} - \bar{z}}{w} = \lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{w}}{w}$$

However, suppose we send  $w$  to zero along the real axis i.e.  $w = t$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and take,

$$f'(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{t}}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{t} = 1$$

However, if we send  $w$  to zero along the imaginary axis i.e.  $w = it$   $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and take,

$$f'(z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{it}}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{-it}{it} = -1$$

Oh no. These do not agree so the limit cannot exist. Therefore  $f(z) = \bar{z}$  is not holomorphic anywhere.

**Theorem 1.3** (Cauchy). Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a closed curve ( $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$ ) in the complex plane and  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic everywhere on the region bounded by  $\gamma$ . Then,

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

*Proof.* Look up the proof of Green's theorem and the Cauchy-Riemann equations. It is a good exercise to try and prove Cauchy's theorem from these facts.  $\square$

**Remark 1.2.** The integral,

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz$$

can be defined as follows. Parametrize the loop as  $\gamma(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and take "by the chain rule",

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt$$

this may serve as a definition of the loop integral.

**Example 1.4.** Let's take  $f(z) = z^2$  and consider a loop tracing out a circle of radius  $r$  around the origin. Explicitly,

$$\gamma(t) = re^{2\pi it}$$

Then we can compute,

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 (re^{2\pi i t})^2 (re^{2\pi i t}) \cdot (2\pi i) dt = (2\pi i) r^3 \int_0^1 e^{3 \cdot (2\pi i t)} dt = 0$$

Think about why this integral is zero!

## 2 Meromorphic Functions

**Example 2.1.** Consider the function  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ . It is not difficult to show that  $f$  is holomorphic everywhere except at  $z = 0$  where it blows up. We say  $f$  has a pole at  $z = 0$ . Let's compute the loop integral for the same circular path  $\gamma$ ,

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{re^{2\pi i t}} (re^{2\pi i t} (2\pi i) dt) = \int_0^1 (2\pi i) dt = 2\pi i$$

Interesting! We might hypothesize that each pole in the interior of  $\gamma$  contributes a factor of  $2\pi i$  to the loop integral. Indeed this is true if we include the “residue” at the pole.

**Definition:** We say a function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has a *pole* of order  $n$  at  $z_0$  if closed to  $z_0$  we can write  $f = (z - z_0)^{-n} u(z)$  where  $u(z)$  is some nonvanishing holomorphic function near  $z_0$ .

**Remark 2.1.** We say the pole is *simple* if its order is 1. For example,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$$

has a simple pole at  $z = 0$ .

**Definition:** Let  $f$  have a simple pole at  $z_0$ . Then the residue of  $f$  at  $z_0$  is,

$$\text{res}_{z_0}(f) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0) f(z)$$

Since, by definition, closed to  $z_0$  we can write,

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-1} u(z)$$

and  $u$  is holomorphic (hence continuous in the complex plane) we see that,

$$\text{res}_{z_0}(f) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0) (z - z_0) u(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} u(z) = u(z_0)$$

**Definition:** We say a function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is *meromorphic* if there is a set of isolated poles  $P \subset \Omega$  such that  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega \setminus P$  and  $f$  has a pole at each point  $p \in P$ .

**Remark 2.2.** It is equivalent to say that a meromorphic function  $f$  is a ratio of two holomorphic functions  $g, h$  i.e.

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{h(z)}$$

Think about how you would prove this?

**Theorem 2.2** (Residue). Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a closed curve bouding a region  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  and let  $f$  be meromorphic on  $D$ . Then,

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{p \in D} \text{res}_p(f)$$