Quantum Information Processing:

Deutsch Algorithm and Grover Search

Edwin Ng | 2 May 2012

The Computational Basis

- \triangleright The computational basis states of the molecule are $|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle$
- These correspond to the *classical bits*

NMR quantum computation manipulates superpositions of these basis states to solve problems faster than classical algorithms

The Computational Basis: FIDs

The Computational Basis: FIDs

- If the state is measured in the computational basis, what is the probability of each state?
- ▶ After normalization, the proton and carbon FIDs gives V_1^H , V_2^H , V_1^C , V_2^C
- They represent the following system:

$$
V_1^H = (\rho_{11} - \rho_{33}) - i(\rho_{31} + \rho_{13})
$$

\n
$$
V_2^H = (\rho_{22} - \rho_{44}) - i(\rho_{24} + \rho_{42})
$$

\n
$$
V_1^C = (\rho_{11} - \rho_{22}) - i(\rho_{21} + \rho_{12})
$$

\n
$$
V_2^C = (\rho_{33} - \rho_{44}) - i(\rho_{43} + \rho_{34})
$$

Basis Probabilities (Cont.)

 ρjj represents probability of measuring the *j-*th basis element

- ▶ We do not need the imaginary elements
- System is rank-deficient: add normalization

$\rho_{11} + \rho_{22} + \rho_{33} + \rho_{44} = 1$

Basis Probabilities (Cont.)

D

Simple Quantum Gates: One-Qubit

NMR is based on single-qubit *rotation* gates:

$$
X = \exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_x\right), \quad Y = \exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_y\right)
$$

- These rotate the spin by π/2 about *x*, *y* axis of the NMR system $(π/2$ pulses).
- *X*² and *Y* ² are π pulses; we also have -π/2

$$
\overline{X} = X^\dagger, \quad \overline{Y} = Y^\dagger
$$

Simple Quantum Gates: Two-Qubits

- ▶ In two-qubit NMR, the two nuclei couple together through J-coupling constant
- **This yields spin-spin interaction operator**

$$
U_{\tau} = \exp\left(-i\frac{\pi}{4}\sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z\right)
$$

▶ Achieved by letting system freely evolve for time $τ = 1/2$ *J*

The Controlled-NOT (CNOT) Gate

 \blacktriangleright Defined by $C|i\rangle|j\rangle=|i\rangle|i\oplus j\rangle$

▶ Classical Truth Table:

 \triangleright The first bit is the control, the second bit is the target. CNOT flips target iff control is 1.

The CNOT Gate: Circuit

▶ Quantum CNOT is a two qubit-circuit

There is also a much simpler near-CNOT gate, disregarding phases

The CNOT Gate: FIDs

The CNOT Gate: FIDs

The CNOT Gate: Probabilities

D

The Deutsch Algorithm: Question

 \triangleright Given a function $f: \{0,1\} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

▶ Constant $f_1(b)$ \boldsymbol{b} $f_0(b)$ \boldsymbol{b} \triangleright f_0 and f_3 *vs.* $f_2(b)$ \boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{b} $f_3(b)$ Balanced \blacktriangleright f_1 and f_2

The Deutsch Algorithm: Setup

- *Classical approach*: Ask for both *f*(0) and *f*(1)
- *Quantum approach*: Ask for only one thing, but need to choose that one thing carefully

$$
D|b_1\rangle|b_2\rangle = |b_1\rangle|f(b_1) \oplus b_2\rangle
$$

- *D* is a unitary operator: *i.e.*, a quantum gate
- **▶ Goal is to query D at most one time, which** would beat classical case

The Deutsch Algorithm: Solution

▶ The following quantum circuit solves the Deutsch problem in one query of *D*:

▶ Measuring gives 00 if constant, 10 if balanced

The Deutsch Algorithm: FIDs

The Deutsch Algorithm: FIDs

The Deutsch Algorithm: Probabilities

The Grover Algorithm: Question

- Given a set X of N items and $g: X \to \{0,1\}$
- Exactly one element x_0 is marked 1
- ▶ *Goal*: Find x_0
- Classical approach is to just search all of *X* \blacktriangleright This takes time $O(N)$
- Quantum approach indexes *X* using states
	- \blacktriangleright Ultimately takes time $O(\sqrt{N})$

The Grover Algorithm: Setup

- Instead of querying *g,* ask for an oracle instead
- *O* is a unitary operator on basis bitstrings *x*:

$$
O|x\rangle = (-1)^{g(x)}|x\rangle
$$

▶ Marks the answer using a "phase kickback"

How to phrase the oracle query?

The Grover Algorithm: Setup (Cont.)

A single query consists of the Grover iterate

 P is a conditional phase \blacktriangleright *H* is the Hadamard operator $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

The Grover Algorithm: Solution

▶ Goal: Use as few Grover iterates as possible

- \blacktriangleright Measuring at the end of $k = O(\sqrt{N})$ iterations gives x_0 with high probability
- ▶ Will also get x_0 after $k+k_0, k+2k_0, \ldots$ iterations

The Grover Algorithm: Implementation

If Ignoring global phases and simplifying, we get a pulse sequence for each Grover iterate

 $G_0 = \overline{X}_1 \overline{X}_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau \overline{X}_1 \overline{X}_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau$ $G_1 = X_1 X_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau X_1 X_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau$ $G_2 = \overline{X}_1 \overline{X}_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau \overline{X}_1 X_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau$ $G_3 = \overline{X}_1 \overline{X}_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau X_1 X_2 Y_1 Y_2 U_\tau$ \blacktriangleright The Hadamard is $H = Y X^2$

The Grover Algorithm: FIDs

The Grover Algorithm: FIDs

The Grover Algorithm: Probabilities

Conclusions

- Introduced a way to calculate the probabilities of each basis element after a computation
- ▶ Demonstrated the preparation of basis states
- ▶ Obtained a CNOT gate with correct classical outputs
- ▶ Verified the correctness of the Deutsch algorithm
- ▶ Observed the correctness and oscillatory behavior of the Grover search algorithm
- Also available:
	- ▶ Classical truth table for near-CNOT gate
	- Near-CNOT, CNOT, Deutsch using *carbon* control

Question and Answer

 \blacktriangleright