Propagation of secondary antiprotons and cosmic rays in the Galaxy
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Abstract

Recent measurements of the cosmic ray (CR) antiproton flux have been shown to challenge existing CR propagation models. It was shown that the reacceleration models designed to match secondary to primary nuclei ratios (e.g., B/C) produce too few antiprotons. In the present paper, we discuss one possibility to overcome these difficulties. Using the measured antiproton flux and B/C ratio to fix the diffusion coefficient, we show that the spectra of primary nuclei as measured in the heliosphere may contain a fresh local "unprocessed" component at low energies perhaps associated with the Local Bubble, thus decreasing the measured secondary to primary nuclei ratio. The independent evidence for SN activity in the solar vicinity in the last few Myr supports this idea. The model reproduces antiprotons, B/C ratio, and elemental abundances up to Ni (Z ≤ 28). Calculated isotopic distributions of Be and B are in perfect agreement with CR data. The abundances of three "radioactive clock" isotopes in CR, 10Be, 26Al, 36Cl, are all consistent and indicate a halo size \( z_h \) \( \approx 4 \) kpc based on the most accurate data taken by the ACE spacecraft.
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1. Introduction

The result of our recent analysis (Moskalenko et al., 2001b, 2002), in agreement with calculations of other authors (Molnar and Simon, 2001), was that matching the secondary/primary nuclei ratio B/C using reacceleration models leads to values of the spatial diffusion coefficient apparently too large to produce the required \( \bar{p} \) flux, when the propagated nucleon spectra are tuned to match the local \( p \) and He flux measurements. Assuming the current heliospheric modulation models are approximately right, we have the following possibility (Moskalenko et al., 2003) to reconcile the B/C ratio with the measured flux of secondary \( \bar{p} \)'s. The spectra of primary nuclei as measured in the heliosphere may contain a fresh local “unprocessed” component at low energies thus decreasing the measured secondary to primary nuclei ratio. This component would have to be local in the sense of being specific to the solar neighbourhood, so that the well-known “Local Bubble” phenomenon is a natural candidate.

The low-density region around the Sun, filled with hot HI gas, is called the Local Bubble (hereafter LB) (e.g., Sfeir et al., 1999). The size of the region is about 200 pc, and it is likely that it was produced in a series of supernova (SN) explosions, with the last SN explosion occurring approximately 1–2 Myr ago, or 3 SN occurring within the last 5 Myr. Most probably its progenitor was an OB star association (e.g., Maiz-Apellániz, 2001; Berghöfer et al., 2002). Knie et al. (1999) report about an excess of \( ^{60}\text{Fe} \) measured in a deep ocean
core sample of ferromanganese crust suggesting a SN explosion about 5 Myr ago at 30 pc distance and the deposition of SN-produced iron on earth. Sonett et al. (1987) report an enhancement in the CR intensity dated about 40 kyr ago, which is interpreted as the passage across the solar system of the shock wave from a SN exploding about 0.1 Myr ago. It could also be that “fresh” LB contributions from continuous acceleration in the form of shock waves (Bykov and Fleishman, 1992), and/or energetic particles coming directly from SN remnants still influence the spectra and abundances of local CR (e.g., Erlykin et al., 1998).

2. The calculation procedure

We use the propagation model GALPROP ¹ as described elsewhere (Strong and Moskalenko, 1998; Moskalenko et al., 2002); for the present purpose the 2D cylindrically symmetrical option is sufficient. The spatial diffusion coefficient is taken as $D_{\text{sp}} = \beta D_0 (\rho/\rho_0)^{b}$; the diffusion in momentum space and other details can be found in our earlier papers. The nucleon injection spectrum of the Galactic component was taken as a modified power-law in rigidity (Jones et al., 2001), 

$$\frac{d\phi}{d\rho} \propto \rho^{-\gamma} \sqrt{1 + \left( \rho/\rho_0 \right)^2}$$

for the injected particle density. The heliospheric modulation is treated using the force-field approximation. The LB spectrum is taken in the form (Bykov and Fleishman, 1992, for continuous acceleration by interstellar shocks): 

$$\frac{df}{dE} \propto \rho^{-\eta} \exp \left( -\rho/\rho_b \right)$$

where $\rho$ is the rigidity, and $\rho_b$, is the cut off rigidity parameter. In terms of kinetic energy per nucleon $E$ this can be re-written as 

$$\frac{df}{dE} = a(Z,A) \frac{(E+m)^{2b}}{E^b} \rho^{-\eta} e^{-\rho/\rho_b}$$

where $a(Z,A)$ is the abundance of a nucleus $(Z,A)$, $Z, A$ are the nucleus charge and atomic number, respectively, $m$ is the atomic mass unit, $p$ is the momentum per nucleon, $\rho_b = \frac{2}{b} \left( \frac{E_0 + m}{2} \right)^2 - m^2$. We show the results obtained with $\eta = 1$, but the particular spectral shape of the LB component is not important as long as it decreases sharply towards high energies and is much softer than the Galactic CR spectrum.

The procedure to tune the CR elemental abundances, secondary/primary nuclei ratios, and $\phi$ flux we adopted was as follows. The high energy part of B/C ratio and $\phi$ flux measurements are used to restrict the parameters of the diffusion coefficient $D_0$ and $\delta$, while the low energy part of B/C ratio is used to fix a value for the reacceleration level and define the parameters of the LB component. The Galactic CR elemental source abundances are tuned using the CR abundances at high energies where the heliospheric modulation is weak. The LB isotopic abundances are tuned to match the low-energy data by ACE and Ulysses.

Fig. 1 (left) illustrates the process of fixing the normalization of the diffusion coefficient using $\phi$’s. The $\phi$ flux shown is calculated with $\delta = 0.47$ and different normalizations in the diffusion coefficient, $D_0 = 2.6, 3.3, 4.3 \times 10^{28}$ cm s$^{-2}$ at $p = 3$ GV (for $\phi$’s this corresponds to kinetic energy $\sim 2$ GeV). The injection index $\gamma$ is taken

¹ GALPROP model including software and data sets is available at http://www.gamma.mpe-garching.mpg.de/~aws/aws.html.
equal to 2.28, and the Alfvén speed $v_a = 23$ km s$^{-1}$. The $\bar{p}$ flux at maximum, $\sim 2$ GeV, appears to be quite sensitive to the value of the diffusion coefficient and allows us to fix it at $D_0 = (3.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{28}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. The exact value of $\delta$ is not critical since we compare with the $p$ measurements at maximum. Inelastically scattered $\bar{p}$'s, the “tertiary” component, appears to be important at low energies only in the ISM. Fig. 1 (right) shows corresponding calculations of the B/C ratio. A halo height of $z_h = 4$ kpc is used (Strong and Moskalenko, 2001; Moskalenko et al., 2001a).

The local interstellar (LIS) $p$ and He spectra used in our $\bar{p}$ calculations are the best fits to the data as described in Moskalenko et al. (2002). Because of the measurements with large statistics (BESS – Sanuki et al., 2000, AMS – Alcaraz et al., 2000), and weak heliospheric modulation above 10 GeV, the error arising from uncertainties in the primary spectra is only $\sim 5\%$. We note that as in the case of other nuclei, there should be an LB contribution to $p$ and He spectra. The Galactic injection spectra of $p$'s and He should thus be significantly flatter below several GeV to match the data points at low energies. This does not influence the $p$ production because: (i) the LB does not produce a significant amount of secondaries; (ii) the $\bar{p}$ threshold production energy is high, $\sim 10$ GeV.

Further tuning can be done using the high energy part of the B/C ratio, which is not influenced by heliospheric modulation and supposedly contains only a Galactic component of CR. Index $\delta = 0.47$ is chosen as giving the best match. A pure Kolmogorov spectrum, $\delta = 1/3$, thus seems to be excluded by $\bar{p}$ spectrum data taken in combination with B/C ratio data. The low energy B/C data were used to fix the LB spectral parameter at $E_0 = 500$ MeV, but $E_0 = 400–600$ MeV also provides good agreement with B/C data. In this way, we have been able to obtain a model simultaneously fitting $p$, He, $\bar{p}$, and B/C data.

3. Abundances in cosmic rays and cosmic ray sources

The Galactic CR source elemental abundances are tuned (at a nominal reference energy of 100 GeV), by a least squares procedure, to the abundances measured by HEAO-3 (Engelmann et al., 1990) at 5.6, 7.5, 10.6, 16.2 GeV/n. Relative isotopic abundances at the source are taken equal to solar system abundances (Anders and Grevesse, 1989). The key point in the fitting procedure is to obtain the correct abundance of B.

The LB elemental abundances are tuned simultaneously with spectra using the low energy part of the B/C ratio and isotopic abundances at 200 MeV/n from ACE (Wiedenbeck et al., 2001) and at 185 MeV/n from Ulysses (DuVernois and Thayer, 1996). For many elements ACE and Ulysses abundances differ by 10%.

For this reason, the statistical errors we added 5% systematic error. The fitting procedure is influenced by the adopted value of the modulation potential. The following pairs of modulation potentials give almost the same final result: (ACE, Ulysses) = (400, 700 MV), (450, 600 MV), (500, 500 MV). The data deviate from calculations in both directions, which mean that we are unlikely to introduce essential systematic error by assuming a wrong value of the modulation potential.

The diffusive reacceleration model with an LB component shows good overall agreement with data including secondary to primary ratios, spectra, and abundances. The derived Galactic CR source abundances and LB source abundances are plotted in Fig. 2 relative to the solar system abundances (Grevesse and Sauval, 1998). The important result is that CR source and LB source abundances of all major elements (C, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar, Ca, Fe, Ni) are in good agreement with each other. Abundances of the Si group, Ca, Fe, Ni are near the solar system abundances. Abundances of other elements in Galactic CR and LB sources are mostly consistent with each other, and with solar system abundances, within a factor of 2. Relative to silicon, C, N, O, Ne, S are underabundant both in CR source and LB. This corresponds to the well-known first-ionization-potential or volatility correlation (see, e.g., Meyer et al., 1998).

Spectra of B, C, O, Fe are shown in Fig. 3 for modulation levels 450 and 800 MV. In case of C, the normalization coefficient in the LB component is fixed as $a(6, 12) = 6.35 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ for $\eta = 1$. Since the elemental abundances are tuned at both high and low energies, the calculated sub-Fe/Fe ratio also agrees well with data.

Secondary nuclei K, Sc, Ti, V appear to be overabundant in the LB sources relative to the solar system (shown as upper limits in Fig. 2), though the derived
absolute LB abundances are not large. The derived LB abundance of Ti does not exceed that of Cr while the derived abundances of Sc and V are not larger than that of Mn. One possible reason for this excess is the uncertainty in the production cross-sections, which is especially large for these nuclei.

Sometimes there is no measurement at all, while phenomenological systematics are frequently wrong by a factor of two or more. Often, there is only one measurement at ~600 MeV/n, which has to be extrapolated in both directions. This allows only a nearly flat Webber-type or Silberberg-Tsao-type extrapolations while the real cross-sections usually have large resonances below several hundred MeV, and decrease with energy above a few GeV (see, e.g., Moskalenko et al., 2001a). We note that Davis et al. (2000) used semiempirical cross-sections based on Webber et al. (1990) and also predicted fluxes of sub-Fe elements which are too low.

An estimate of the overall error, which is reflected in the derived LB source abundances, can be obtained by assuming the absence of K, Sc, Ti, V in the LB source. Such a discrepancy is below 20%, and can be removed by allowing the production cross-sections to increase at low energies by ~15–20%, which seems plausible. Another possibility is errors in flux measurements of the rare CR species. Ulysses and ACE measurements are not always in agreement. Note that even for such an abundant nucleus as Fe, which is the main contributor to the sub-Fe group, the discrepancy exceeds 10%, while the disagreement in abundance of Sc is ~30%.

The derived source overabundance of sub-Fe elements in the LB could also in principle arise from composition differences between the ISM in the LB and solar or Galactic average ISM. This is suggested by the fact that the relative abundances of secondary elements in the LB sources are systematically larger than in the Galactic CR sources (Fig. 2).

### 3.1. Isotopic distributions in cosmic rays

Be and B isotopes are assumed all secondary, thus there is no possibility to tune them. Our calculation shows perfect agreement with the data on relative isotopic abundances of Be and B. This is in contrast with a “standard” reacceleration model, where we obtained a 15% discrepancy with relative abundances of Be isotopes (Strong and Moskalenko, 2001). Abundances of stable isotopes of other elements are not very conclusive because they are present in the sources, but O and Si isotopic distributions still agree very well with data by ACE, Ulysses, and Voyager assuming only 16O and 28Si isotopes are present in the LB component. C and N isotopic distributions do not agree too well, but this may point to a problem with cross-sections. The calculated ratio 13C/12C = 0.11 at 120 MeV/n (φ = 500 MV) in the model with LB contribution is still a factor ~1.5 too large compared to the measured value, 0.0629 ± 0.0033 (Voyager 50–130 MeV/n, Webber et al., 1996) and 0.078 ± 0.011 (Ulysses 100–200 MeV/n, DuVernois et al., 1996b), which may be connected in part with overproduction of 13C by 14N. If we replace the cumulative cross-section 15N + p → 13C with the cross-section 14N + p → 13C, the calculated ratio 13C/12C will be lowered by an estimated 10%. Assuming the absence of the isotope 13C in the Galactic CR sources gives another 10% reduction. Altogether these corrections yield 13C/12C ~ 0.09, close to the data.

In the case of the radioactive isotopes Be, Al, Cl, usually used as “clocks” in CR, the agreement with the most accurate low energy data by ACE is very good and all the ratios are consistent with each other indicating that a halo height z_h = 4 kpc is a good estimate. Higher energy data by ISOMAX (Be) are also consistent with calculations considering the large error bars. The...
$^{54}\text{Mn}/\text{Mn}$ ratio indicates a somewhat smaller halo, but this may be related to uncertainty in its half-life and/or production cross-section. The half-life of $^{54}\text{Mn}$ against $\beta^-$ decay is the most uncertain among the four radioactive isotopes – it is only one which is not measured directly. Apart from the half-life, a possible source of errors can be production cross-sections of Mn isotopes. Only the reaction $^{56}\text{Fe} + p \rightarrow ^{54}\text{Mn}$ on a natural sample of Fe has been measured well enough (see compilation by Mashnik et al., 1998).

4. Conclusion

In a previous paper, we have shown that taken together the $p$ flux and B/C ratio appear to be inconsistent with measurements when computed in standard diffusion/reacceleration models. In this paper, we have demonstrated that this discrepancy can be resolved if some part of the local CR consists of a “fresh” component accelerated in the LB. The independent evidence for SN activity in the solar vicinity supports this idea.

Combining the measurements of the $p$ flux and B/C ratio to fix the diffusion coefficient, we have been able to construct a model consistent with measurements of important nuclei ratios in CR and derive elemental abundances in the LB. Calculated isotopic abundance distributions of Be and B are in perfect agreement with CR data. The abundances of three “radioactive clock” isotopes in CR $^{10}\text{Be}$, $^{20}\text{Al}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}$ are all consistent and indicate a halo size $z_0 \sim 4 \text{ kpc}$ based on the ACE data. $^{54}\text{Mn}$ indicated a smaller halo, but this may be related to its half-life uncertainty and/or cross-section errors. The derived fraction of LB component in CR is small compared to Galactic CR (e.g., in case of C, the LB component is $\sim 16\%$ of the LIS carbon flux at 665 MeV/n) and has a steep spectrum with a cutoff above $\sim 1 \text{ GeV/n}$. Other experimental data (except maybe the overabundance of Sc, Ti, V) do not contradict this hypothesis. The derived source overabundance of sub-Fe elements in the LB may be caused by minor uncertainties in the production cross-sections, or could in principle arise from composition and/or evolution differences between the ISM in the LB and solar or Galactic average ISM.
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