
From: Diamond, Bob: Barclays Capital
Sent: Monday, August 25, 2008 1:06 PM
To: Haworth, Richard: Barclays Capital (LDN); Kalaris, Tom: Barclays Capital (LDN); del Missier, Jerry: Barclays Capital (NYK); Ricci, Rich: Barclays Capital
Subject: FW:

-----Original Message-----

From: Varley, John: Barclays PLC
Sent: Monday, August 25, 2008 7:00 AM
To: Diamond, Bob: Barclays Capital
Cc: Haworth, Richard: Barclays Capital (LDN)
Subject: RE:

Yes. Agree. You're right though to be choosy about what we might want. Must also stress to Jeffrey absolute imperative of confidentiality.

John Varley
Group Chief Executive

Tel: 020 7116 1000 (cw 6006-1000)
Fax: 020 7116 7780 (cw 6006-7780)
e-mail address: john.varley@barclays.com
Address: One Churchill Place, London E14 5HP COMPANY CONFIDENTIAL

-----Original Message-----

From: Diamond, Bob : Barclays Capital
Sent: 24 August 2008 21:51
To: Varley, John : Group Exec
Cc: Haworth, Richard :
Subject:

John,

As we had discussed I followed up with Lazard (Jeffrey Rosen and Gary Parr) today regarding the Long Island asset management business.

In their view:

- 1) Long Island must do something to add stability and capital (no surprise) and asset management businesses are an obvious candidate
- 2) The asset management division is more than the NB asset (although it is the bulk of the value) with additional hedge fund and private equity positions accounting for circa 25percent of a total 'asset management' valuation of cUSD 8-9bn
- 3) Long island management would prefer a deal for someone to take over 50percent but not all of the division - they feel this will enable them to take cash on sale portion, mark up remainder of holding to same value in their own valuation and thus take capital/rating pressures off whilst retaining their holding in the strategically important and high quality investment management sector
- 4) Anecdotally that NB management from the original transaction have a say and are unhappy with the performance of the Long Island stock they got as part of original transaction (last of this stock to vest Nov/Dec this year)

5) Lazard believe Long Island are seeking a swift resolution and that some information has already been shared with certain parties

I re-iterated that our prime goal in the US was HNW and that as such whilst NB might be useful it is not the pure play we would ideally want. I also believe however that we do not want to be on the outside as there may be pieces here that would be of interest. On this basis I recommend a short period with Lazard to establish more detail on the 'parts' that will enable us to come to a more informed way forward - do you agree?

Bob

This e-mail may contain information that is confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. If you are not an intended recipient of this e-mail, do not duplicate or redistribute it by any means. Please delete it and any attachments and notify the sender that you have received it in error. Unless specifically indicated, this e-mail is not an offer to buy or sell or a solicitation to buy or sell any securities, investment products or other financial product or service, an official confirmation of any transaction, or an official statement of Barclays. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Barclays. This e-mail is subject to terms available at the following link: www.barcap.com/emaildisclaimer. By messaging with Barclays you consent to the foregoing. Barclays Capital is the investment banking division of Barclays Bank PLC, a company registered in England (number 1026167) with its registered office at 1 Churchill Place, London, E14 5HP. This email may relate to or be sent from other members of the Barclays Group.
