

**From:** Stewart, Marie <marie.stewart@lehman.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 12, 2007 9:05 PM (GMT)  
**To:** Bismal, Anuraj <abismal@lehman.com>; Traversari, Ryan <ryan.traversari@lehman.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

---

"By extention I think that the Bonds created off of Win XII are categorised as C as well.' Which bit of as a GAAP matter we don't own the CMBS do they not get?? My head will explode if we have to talk to them about this again.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bismal, Anuraj  
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2007 3:56 PM  
To: Stewart, Marie; Traversari, Ryan  
Subject: FW: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

Sounds to me that we did Repo105 on Real Estate Held for Sale.

We have introduced a control check to try and detect if this happens at Q4.

Anuraj

-----Original Message-----

From: Bismal, Anuraj  
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2007 10:50 AM  
To: Daryanani, Ashvind M; Holmes, Richard  
Cc: Real Estate Product Control  
Subject: RE: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

That's the bit that is confusing - as a level 'C'...is consolidated real estate held for sale should probably not be in Repo105 correct?

It is what it is - but certainly something to watch at year end

AB

-----Original Message-----

From: Daryanani, Ashvind M  
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2007 10:07 AM  
To: Holmes, Richard; Bismal, Anuraj  
Cc: Real Estate Product Control  
Subject: RE: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

Richard / Anuraj

We still have the bridge equity of Coeur Defense (the loan that went into Win XII). And as such I understand that this deal needs to be consolidated. By extention I think that the Bonds created off of Win

XII are categorised as C as well.

Let me know if you want to discuss this further.

Regards,

Ashvind

---

Ashvind Mohan Daryanani

LEHMAN BROTHERS  
Real Estate  
25 Bank Street,  
Canary Wharf  
London, E14 5LE

Tel: + 44 (0)20 7102 1321  
E-mail: ashvind.daryanani@lehman.com

>P Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to  
>

-----Original Message-----

From: Holmes, Richard  
Sent: 12 November 2007 14:13  
To: Real Estate Product Control  
Cc: Bismal, Anuraj  
Subject: FW: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

Could you check the below - Anuraj's comment re the tagging in GFS?

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Bismal, Anuraj  
Sent: 12 November 2007 12:09  
To: Holmes, Richard  
Subject: Re: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

What is confusing is that they are tagged as level C in gfs which is meant to be reserves real estate held for sale (consolidated).

----- Original Message -----

From: Holmes, Richard  
To: Bismal, Anuraj; Vasey, David; Real Estate Product Control  
Cc: Chokshi, Divyesh; Gibb, Dominic; Traversari, Ryan; Stewart, Marie; Lee, Matthew; Balance Sheet Group NY

Sent: Mon Nov 12 04:54:06 2007  
Subject: RE: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

Taking a quick look at this, the positions look like the retained bonds from Windermere XII. In which case, there are 2 issues:

- as Windermere XII is a failed FAS 140 deal, we shouldn't be recognising any Repo 105 benefit.

- they are CMBS bonds so shouldn't be in Real Estate Held for sale.

First thing - Ash, can you confirm that the highlighted figure below is the total of the retained Windermere XII bonds as at 31/8?

Thanks,  
Richard

---

From: Bismal, Anuraj  
Sent: 09 November 2007 21:22  
To: Vasey, David; Holmes, Richard  
Cc: Chokshi, Divyesh; Gibb, Dominic; Traversari, Ryan; Stewart, Marie; Lee, Matthew; Balance Sheet Group NY  
Subject: Repo105/8 trend by GAAP Asset Class

David and Richard,

We were looking at Repo105/8 benefit trends over the last few quarters and noticed something odd....see below.

I am sure we are looking at the wrong thing - please let me know.

<<Picture (Metafile)>>

<<MortgageDetail0807Repo.xls>>  
The detail is in the spreadsheet attached.

Thanks  
AB