

**From:** Bernard, Clement [clement.bernard@lehman.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 3, 2008 5:55 PM (GMT)  
**To:** Morton, Andrew J [amorton@lehman.com]  
**Subject:** bridge equity

---

Andy

Find below a summary of what we do with bridge equity.

Two components in the valuation of the asset

- \* the estimate of the expected cash flow on the transaction and what falls into the Equity tranche and
- \* market yield that people are expecting on this type asset.

>

---

> From: Cohen, Jonathan  
> Sent: Monday, March 03, 2008 11:13 AM  
> To: Bernard, Clement  
> Subject: bridge equity

>

> The methodology on pricing bridge equity is generally based on the  
> initial capitalization structure on day 1, which also includes  
> assumptions of the projected entity level cash flows and estimated  
> yields that we would sell the bridge equity to investors. We mark our  
> investments at 96 (par less the 4 point fee we charge to the ultimate  
> investors). We also do these deals with the intention of collecting  
> accrued preferred returns from investors (usually 11%-13%). To be  
> conservative, we do not recognize this accrued preferred return until  
> collected.

>

> Since it was always expected to be carried short-term, there was never  
> a robust analysis put in place at the independent servicer to value  
> the equity. Over the past few months, Anthony Barsanti and Trimont,  
> our servicer, are putting in a valuation framework and cash flow  
> monitoring procedures. That said, these investments are actively  
> monitored from the business side (Paul Hughson) to see if there is any  
> yield impairment to the ultimate equity investor). For one of the  
> large investments (ProLogis), we had a legal balance of \$575mm (marked  
> at 96 day 1). Due to the capital markets, the assumptions for debt  
> financing needed to be negatively adjusted and the corresponding  
> equity was impacted. Thus, in order to sell the equity at the yield  
> investors would require for this asset type (net levered yield of  
> 11%), we marked the asset down \$55mm over the past 2 quarters.

>

> For Archstone, all fees days one 1 (\$233mm) went to reduce the debt  
> positions (\$33mm) and bridge equity (\$200mm). We have also taken any

- > excess coupon over carry cost and applied it to the bridge equity
- > mark. The mark is now approx 89.6. Even when the term loan or other
- > debt is paid down, we do not record positive p&l.
- > Based on a failed syndication (i.e. not able to syndicate equity in
- > 9-12 months), we would be able to sell equity without sponsor asset
- > mgmt fees or promote. The current assumption is that we are carrying
- > the equity assuming a failed syndication and we can sell to investors
- > at a 15% yield. As the entity level cash flows are updated, which
- > occurs often, this yield will be reevaluated.
- >
- > Jonathan Cohen
- > Senior Vice President
- > Real Estate Finance
- > Lehman Brothers
- > 399 Park Avenue, 5th floor
- > New York, NY 10022
- > Tel. (212) 526-2469
- > Fax (646) 758-1570
- > jonathan.cohen@lehman.com
- >