Wake structure in actuator disk models of wind turbines in yaw under uniform inflow conditions

Michael F. Howland, Juliaan Bossuyt, Luis A. Martínez-Tossas, Johan Meyers, and Charles Meneveau

Citation: Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy 8, 043301 (2016); doi: 10.1063/1.4955091
View online: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4955091
View Table of Contents: http://aip.scitation.org/toc/rse/8/4
Published by the American Institute of Physics

Articles you may be interested in

Large eddy simulation study of fully developed wind-turbine array boundary layers
Physics of Fluids 22, 015110 (2010); 10.1063/1.3291077

Wind tunnel study of the wind turbine interaction with a boundary-layer flow: Upwind region, turbine performance, and wake region
Physics of Fluids 29, 065105 (2017); 10.1063/1.4984078

Characterization of wind velocities in the upstream induction zone of a wind turbine using scanning continuous-wave lidars
Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy 8, 013301 (2016); 10.1063/1.4940025

Influence of atmospheric stability on wind-turbine wakes: A large-eddy simulation study
Physics of Fluids 27, 035104 (2015); 10.1063/1.4913695

Numerical investigation of the yawed wake and its effects on the downstream wind turbine
Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy 8, 033303 (2016); 10.1063/1.4953791

Large-eddy simulation of a very large wind farm in a stable atmospheric boundary layer
Physics of Fluids 23, 065101 (2011); 10.1063/1.3589857
Wake structure in actuator disk models of wind turbines in yaw under uniform inflow conditions

Michael F. Howland,1,a) Juliaan Bossuyt,1,2 Luis A. Martínez-Tossas,1
Johan Meyers,2 and Charles Meneveau1
1Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA
2KU Leuven, Leuven 3001, Belgium

(Received 28 January 2016; accepted 15 June 2016; published online 6 July 2016)

Reducing wake losses in wind farms by deflecting the wakes through turbine yawing has been shown to be a feasible wind farm controls approach. Nonetheless, the effectiveness of yawing depends not only on the degree of wake deflection but also on the resulting shape of the wake. In this work, the deflection and morphology of wakes behind a porous disk model of a wind turbine operating in yawed conditions are studied using wind tunnel experiments and uniform inflow. First, by measuring velocity distributions at various downstream positions and comparing with prior studies, we confirm that the non-rotating porous disk wind turbine model in yaw generates realistic wake deflections. Second, we characterize the wake shape and make observations of what is termed as curled wake, displaying significant spanwise asymmetry. The wake curling observed in the experiments is also reproduced qualitatively in Large Eddy Simulations using both actuator disk and actuator line models. Results suggest that when a wind turbine is yawed for the benefit of downstream turbines, the curled shape of the wake and its asymmetry must be taken into account since it affects how much of it intersects the downstream turbines. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4955091]

I. INTRODUCTION

Considering the U.S. Department of Energy 20% Wind by 2030 plan1 and similar goals worldwide,2 the efficiency and control of wind turbines placed in large wind farms have become an important area of study. Inevitably, significant power degradation occurs due to strong wake interactions between turbines downstream of each other.3–6 Better understanding of these interactions is needed for improved designs of large, base load supplying wind farms. Currently, wind farms operate on the principle of maximum power point extraction, which entails each turbine to operate individually in an effort to maximize its own power at any time.7 This operation can be considered similar to the control of a single, independent wind turbine that is not in a wind farm array. However, since such control strategies do not take wake interactions, and spatial or temporal correlations explicitly into account, they are most likely not the most effective strategy for an entire wind farm.8,9 Recently, there has been a push towards the optimization in the control of power generated by an entire large wind farm, as opposed to operating each turbine in a maximum power point tracking manner.10,11 In this vane, the wake deflection by operating wind turbines in yaw has been shown to be an attractive option to control wake deflection and power output,10,12–16 and has recently generated significant interest.9,17,18

Nominally, turbines are operated with the rotor perpendicular to the flow, with tip speed ratio and pitch near optimal values, which are dependent on the turbine and the desired power output. In an effort to reduce the power losses for downstream wind turbines that reside in the
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wake of an upstream one, there have been experimental studies which have considered altering yaw angle, tip speed ratio, and blade pitch.\textsuperscript{14,17,18} The authors in Ref.\textsuperscript{14} used two aligned turbines in a wind tunnel and tested varying the rotor yaw angle, tip speed ratio, and the blade pitch of the upstream wind turbine only. This study showed that varying the yaw angle of the wind turbine was of comparable benefit to increasing the streamwise spacing between turbines, with an optimal power output occurring at 30°. The authors in Refs.\textsuperscript{17 and 18} studied the effects of controlling yaw angle, tip speed ratio, and the blade pitch of the upstream turbine for scaled model wind turbines, with the results also revealing the benefits of yawing the upstream turbine. Further, yaw misalignment has been shown to reduce the steady-state blade loading variations by up to 70\%, which has led to the use of yawing to increase the operational life.\textsuperscript{19} The authors in Ref.\textsuperscript{20} studied a rotating wind turbine model in replicated atmospheric boundary layer conditions to discover a deflection of approximately 0.6D in the far wake.

In Refs.\textsuperscript{9 and 21–23}, there were computational studies of wake deflection using various yaw angles. The authors of Ref.\textsuperscript{21} used Large Eddy Simulation (LES) with an actuator disk model (ADM) in a turbulent inflow and showed that wake deflection can be reproduced in such simulations. They also proposed a momentum-based model for the deflection which is compared to LES with reasonable validity in the far wake. Some experimental results are compared, but the authors cite a need for more experimental verification before a wake controller may be developed.

The authors of Ref.\textsuperscript{9} studied the wake deflection under various conditions using the SOWFA LES code and using the NREL 5 MW turbine model.\textsuperscript{24} When the yaw angle $\gamma$ was $\gamma = 30^\circ$, the study found the maximum wake deflection to reach about 0.5D in the far wake, where $D$ is the rotor diameter. Ref.\textsuperscript{22} studied the near wake structure of a wind turbine under uniform inflow using Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes flow modeling and the results displayed some strong asymmetries in the near field (up to 2D downstream). Furthermore, employing an actuator disk model for the turbine under uniform shear, in Ref.\textsuperscript{23} wakes was found deflected up to 0.7D when $\gamma = 30^\circ$. Further, LES studies of several yawed turbines have been carried out in Ref.\textsuperscript{25}, and they compared the wake deflection with the theoretical model of Ref.\textsuperscript{21}, which characterizes the skew angle behind a yawed turbine.

Most of the studies considered only 2D wake deflection in horizontal planes, generally at hub height. However, the wakes of wind turbines have been shown to exhibit asymmetric properties in yaw, as pointed out in Ref.\textsuperscript{26}. The spanwise force imposed by a wind turbine operating in yaw has been shown to be significant. Additionally, in Ref.\textsuperscript{26} the importance of free stream turbulence on the structure of the 3D wake is noted, which influences the high energy mixing downstream.

In general, prior studies have shown that yawing turbines results in a power reduction for the yawed turbine (following $\cos^3(\gamma)$), but can yield noticeable power increases for downstream wind turbines as a result of the deflected wake. Even when the wind turbines operate nominally in non-yawed conditions, in practice there is always some yaw misalignment due to the imperfections of the yaw control for aligning the turbine with the incoming wind. In fact, it has been shown with LIDAR measurements that wind turbines typically operate from 4° to 10° in yaw when the turbine attempts to track the flow to operate with 0° yaw.\textsuperscript{27} Therefore, understanding of the dynamics and implications of a wind turbine operating in yaw are important to the design and control of wind farms even if traditional yaw alignment controllers are used.

The objectives of this study are to establish whether porous disk wind turbine models exhibit the phenomenon of wake deflection, whether the degree of deflection is comparable to that of other models and simulations, and to examine the shape of the resulting deflected wake. For experimental studies of large wind farms, it is often necessary to use non-rotating porous disk models, in order to accommodate a large number of small models that may be installed within the physical constraints of typical wind tunnels.\textsuperscript{28} As such, the mechanism of wake deflection when using a porous (or actuator) disk model must be established in order to enable further studies. To our knowledge, there has not been an experimental study of porous disk models in yaw to study the wake deflection. A wind tunnel experiment, described in Sec. II, is
performed and results are presented in Sec. III, where the center of wake is defined and then
determined from the data and compared with prior studies. Also, streamwise and spanwise
mean velocity distributions are mapped out at various downstream cross-sections with particular
attention to the shape of the resulting wake, shown in Sec. IV. Traditional wake models assume
a symmetric, circular shape but as will be shown, significant asymmetries develop in yawed
wakes. In order to provide further evidence of the particular wake morphology determined
experimentally, we perform LES using both actuator disk and actuator line methods and con-
firm, qualitatively, the observed wake shapes. Large eddy simulations are presented in Sec. V.
Conclusions are presented in Sec. VI.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Experiments are performed in the Corrsin Wind Tunnel at the Johns Hopkins University. It
is a closed loop, two-story facility, with a primary contraction-ratio of 25:1 and a secondary
contraction of 1.27:1. The test section is 10 m long with a cross section of 1 m by 1.3 m. The
experiments are performed in laminar, uniform inflow, with free-stream velocity in the test sec-
tion of $U_\infty = 12 \text{ m/s}$. The free stream turbulence level is less than 0.12%. To ensure uniform
inflow, the drag disk wind turbine model is placed far downstream of the contraction and in the
center of the cross section, far from any walls (the boundary layer thicknesses at the measure-
ment location are below 8 cm). The single turbine is mounted on a slender cylinder which is
connected to a stepper motor with a step size of $0.1125^\circ$ allowing precise control of the yaw
angle. Overall, we estimate the systematic yaw uncertainty to be $\pm 0.5^\circ$ due to uncertainties in
turbine placement within the experimental domain. As will be verified based on the velocity
measurements in Sec. IV, the support structure is sufficiently far from the turbine and wake
regions so that no influence on the measurements can be observed. The $x$, $y$, and $z$ coordinate
directions are streamwise, spanwise, and height, respectively, and are shown in Figure 1.

Experiments use a porous disk model which was designed to match the far wake properties
of a full scale wind turbine through comparisons to prior models in the literature. Figure 1
shows a schematic and a photograph of the porous disk and the setup in the wind tunnel. The
diameter of the model turbine is 3 cm, i.e., a scale ratio of about $4 \times 10^3$ compared to a large-
scale $D = 120 \text{ m}$ utility wind turbine. Such a scale ratio is needed here to fit 100 models inside
the test section. It would be very challenging to build rotating model turbines of such small
diameters that would still produce the correct thrust and induction coefficients and correct tur-
bine control. These parameters mainly determine the overall properties of the wake. The turbine
model has been designed to match a desired thrust coefficient of $C_T = 0.75 \pm 0.04$ and is manu-
factured using 3D printing. Its properties have been carefully documented in Ref. 28 for the
case of non-yawed conditions, showing excellent agreement with the desired thrust coefficient
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(measured using strain-gages) and canonical wake defect velocity profiles that agree very well with those of rotating wind turbines at streamwise distances beyond 3D.

Measurements are performed using hot wire anemometry and a Pitot-static probe. The hot-wire measurements were made with an X-wire probe made in-house as described in Ref. 29. The probe is mounted on a three-axis traverse system with spatial location accuracy of ±0.1 mm. Signals are acquired at a sampling rate of 10 kHz, with a low pass filter (Nyquist) of 5 kHz, capturing both the mean velocity and the variance of the velocity signal accurately. Signals are acquired at each measurement location for 26 s to ensure converged mean and second-order flow statistics. The X-wire is oriented such that the $u$ and $v$ components (streamwise and spanwise components, respectively) of the velocity are measured. In order to compensate for the temperature drift of the hot-wire probe measurement system, the data are recalibrated to $U_\infty$ when the probe is in the free stream, with subsequent measurements adjusted using linear interpolation, as done in Ref. 30. Measurement locations along the YZ and XY planes are shown in Figure 2. XY planes were taken at hub height in order to characterize the 2D wake deflection. The YZ planes were taken at $x/D = 5, 8$ for the hot-wire probe in order to show the development of the wake structure in the far wake. Typical turbine placement is 5D–8D, making the wake deflection and structure between these locations is important.

The Pitot measurements were carried out with a Pitot static probe with an outside diameter of 2 mm. The pressure was measured with a 220CD Bartron General Purpose Differential Capacitance Manometer with measurement uncertainty of ±0.15%, leading to an error of ±2 Pa. The output voltage was measured with an Omega Instrument i555. Together, this setup results in an overall velocity measurement uncertainty of ±0.2 m/s in the case of 7 m/s laminar flow, the lowest velocity measured with the Pitot setup in the wake of the turbine. This gives a maximum Pitot velocity uncertainty of 3%. While a Pitot static probe results in very accurate flow measurements in low turbulent flows, added pressure effects due to turbulence will lead to a measurement offset in the wake of the turbine. The Pitot-static probe was used for an XY plane at hub height and YZ planes at $x/D = 0.5, 1.5, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, \text{ and } 12$. The Pitot probe is used for the characterization of the center of the wake, but will not be used for detailed velocity measurements. Pitot probes were chosen for wake deflection characterization since hot-wire measurements require a significantly more elaborate construction and calibration process and have a higher sensitivity to temperature drift during long duration measurements. In the high turbulent wake region, Pitot probes carry higher uncertainty than hot-wires (as seen in Sec. III); yet due to the described time limitations of hot-wire measurements, Pitot probes were chosen for wake center characterization to allow for measurement of various yaw angles, including $\gamma = 0^\circ, 5^\circ, 10^\circ, 20^\circ, \text{ and } 30^\circ$. For these cases, however, Pitot-probe measurements of mean velocity were only performed in XY planes at hub height. In the wake of the turbine, the
turbulence intensity is not uniform, which may alter the uncertainty of the Pitot probe during the experiment. However, the resulting center of wake positions, being given by a ratio of integrated velocity distributions, is expected to be fairly insensitive to the inaccuracies of the Pitot probe in turbulence. As further shown below, reasonable agreement between Pitot and hot-wire probe was observed for the wake deflection characterization.

III. CENTER OF WAKE DEFLECTION

With a wind turbine in yawed conditions, the wake is no longer symmetric in the spanwise direction. Further, when a tower or rotational turbine model is included, the wake is no longer symmetric in height either. As a result, it becomes necessary to characterize the center of asymmetric wakes in order to compare different yaw angles and control methods. Several methods have been proposed before, such as fitting a Gaussian shape or using the “center of mass” of the velocity defect. Additionally, the authors in Ref. have proposed using particles to track the center of wake for turbines in yaw, yet this study only considers particles’ deflection in a horizontal slice, not the 3D wake effects. Since the wake shape will be found to differ significantly from Gaussian and exhibits 3D properties, here we use the “center of mass” method. The center of the wake is computed at every streamwise distance in the flow, according to the resolution of the domain. At each streamwise measurement location \( x \), mean streamwise velocity data on a YZ plane is considered. The center of wake coordinates \( y_c(x) \) and \( z_c(x) \) are computed according to

\[
\begin{align*}
  y_c &= \frac{\int y DU(x,y,z) dydz}{\int DU(x,y,z) dydz}, \quad \text{and} \quad z_c(x) = \frac{\int z DU(x,y,z) dydz}{\int DU(x,y,z) dydz},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( DU(x,y,z) = U_\infty - \bar{u}(x,y,z) \), \( \bar{u} \) is the time averaged velocity and \( U_\infty \) is, as before, the free stream velocity. The integration is performed discretely over the available spatial data. To obtain the center of wake from the XY-plane measurements at the many \( x \) locations, we use 1D integration in the \( y \)-direction only and neglect the \( z \)-dependence of the wake

\[
y_c' = \frac{\int y DU(x,y,z=0) dy}{\int DU(x,y,z=0) dy}.
\]

In Figure 3, filled circles represent \( y_c(x) \) from Pitot data in successive YZ planes at the various \( (x - x_0)/D \) distances downstream where \( x_0/D \) is the downstream location where \( y_c(x) = 0 \). In some cases, the deflection measured from simulations at \( x = 0 \) is not exactly zero. Hence, in order to compare the deflection with respect to where \( y_c \) vanishes initially, a virtual origin \( x_0 \) is subtracted from the reported \( x \)-positions in the cases in which \( y_c \) is measured at the turbine location. The value of \( x_0/D \) is shown in the legend of Figure 3. The cross markers show the \( y_c'(x) \) computed from Pitot data from an XY plane measurement at hub height. The open circles represent \( y_c'(x) \) for hot-wire probe results for which the data was available in an XY plane measurement at hub height. All data were obtained at the measurement locations shown in the point map in Figure 2. The experimentally measured wake deflection downstream for the yawing case is compared with results from the literature. Specifically, in Figure 3 we compare the center of wake computed from Eq. (2) with Pitot and hot-wire measurements and the center of wake computed from Eq. (1) from Pitot measurements with wind tunnel results from Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne (EPFL) and with numerical simulations from National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and Danish Technical University (DTU). New simulations were also performed with the in-house JHU LES code using actuator disk (ADM) and actuator line (ALM) models, shown with solid and dashed black lines, respectively. Details about the LES are provided in Sec. V. The conditions for the different cases shown in Figure 3 are summarized in Table I.
Estimating the experimental uncertainty associated with the Pitot and hot-wire probe measurements is challenging. For the Pitot probe, we choose the maximum measured deviation of $y'_{c}(x)$ for a case in which the deflection should be identically zero (the case of zero yaw). The uncertainty estimated in this fashion is approximately $0.06D$. For the hot-wire data, we assume a 2% error in velocity $^2$ and the traverse positioning error described in Sec. II, yielding an estimated error in $y'_{c}(x)$ of about $0.02D$ through standard propagation of error in Eq. (2). The wake center is approximately consistent between Pitot and hot-wire probes until $7D$, as seen in the plots of $y'_{c}(x)$ in Figure 3. Differences in wake deflection are likely the result of the Pitot probe’s mean velocity shift as a result of turbulence in the wake. In Sec. IV, a quantitative analysis of wake structure and statistics is performed with the hot-wire probe.

Table II summarizes the far-wake deflection at $(x-x_0)/D = 8$. The present Pitot probe measurements $y_{c}(x)$ agree well with the results of DTU with 6.7% difference between the cases. There is an 8% difference with the $y_{c}(x)$ from the JHU LES ADM at $(x-x_0)/D = 8$. The expected cause of this disparity is discussed in Sec. V. Given the overall experimental and modeling uncertainties, and the differences in methods to determine the wake center, the level of agreement between the different studies, approaches, and models displayed in Figure 3 is quite satisfactory. We can conclude that for $\gamma = 30^\circ$, at $(x-x_0)/D = 8$ a wake deflection of about $0.45-0.6D$ takes place.

Additionally, an unexpected result is $y_{c}(x) > y'_{c}(x)$ in the far wake based on the present Pitot measurements. This result is likely caused by the downward shift of the wake as a result of the drag of the cylindrical tower as will be seen in the measurements presented below. As a result of the downward deflection, the XY plane at hub height no longer represents the location
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**FIG. 3.** Comparison of the wake deflections for a yaw angle of $\gamma = 30^\circ$ from Refs. 9, 21, and 23 with present measurements in the wake of a porous disc model in a wind tunnel. Present measurement results are shown with $y_{c}(x)$ for Pitot probe data and $y'_{c}(x)$ for both Pitot and hot-wire probes. Error bars denote the experimental uncertainty, determined by combining the estimated uncertainties due to Pitot and hot-wire probes, traverse system, and yaw controller. The results are shown with a virtual origin at which the wake center is $y_{c}(x)/D = 0$, which occurs at the $x_0/D$ locations downstream of the turbine shown in the legend.

**TABLE I.** Comparison of the turbine models.9,21,23,28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turbine Model</th>
<th>Porous disk</th>
<th>NREL</th>
<th>DTU</th>
<th>EPFL</th>
<th>JHU LES ADM</th>
<th>JHU LES ALM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_t$</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background TL</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>6.30%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>0.03 m</td>
<td>126 m</td>
<td>80 m</td>
<td>0.15 m</td>
<td>100 m</td>
<td>126 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_{\infty}$</td>
<td>12 m/s</td>
<td>8 m/s</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>4.88 m/s</td>
<td>12 m/s</td>
<td>12 m/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further discussion of the LES results is provided in Sec. V. Moreover, Jimenez et al.21 proposed a simple model for the wake deflection as a function of $CT$ (more details provided in the Appendix). Figure 4 shows that the final deflection corresponds well with Jimenez’s model with $CT$ values as in Table I providing further evidence that the drag disk wind turbine model produces realistic wake deflection for a given yawing angle.

IV. WAKE SHAPE

To illustrate the 3D wake deflection of a drag disk wind turbine model in yaw, we consider the shape of the wake. These results were acquired using the hot-wire experimental setup described in Sec. II.

A. Streamwise velocity distributions

Figure 5 shows the streamwise mean velocity distribution (normalized with free-stream velocity) on an XY plane at hub height of the porous disk wind turbine model. It clearly reveals the wake deflection under yawed ($\gamma = 30^\circ$) conditions. The wake center, $y_0^c(x)$, computed previously is shown with full circles.

Next, we consider the shape of the wake in cross-stream YZ planes. Figure 6 shows the mean velocity distribution at $x/D = 5$ and 8, normalized with the free stream velocity ($U_{\infty}$). The wake has an asymmetric, curled shape. We will refer to this type of wake as the curled wake. As a result of its 3D shape, the momentum deficit region behind the yawed model is not fully deflected to the amount implied by the XY plane measurements, since the wake experiences maximum deflection at hub height. For example, $y_c^\prime > y_c$. The wake is deflected considerably less towards the (negative) $y$-direction at the top and the bottom of the rotor area. Thus, care must be taken when characterizing the wake deflection based on $y_c^\prime$ measured only at hub height, since it may overestimate the overall deflection. Also, the wake of the tower is deflected in the opposite

| TABLE II. Wake deflection at $(x-x_0)/D = 8$ for the various models. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| DTU | NREL | EPFL | Pitot $y_c(x)$ | Pitot $y_c^\prime(x)$ | HWA $y_c(x)$ | JHU LES ADM | JHU LES ALM |
| 0.45 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.62 | 0.44 | 0.44 |

FIG. 4. Comparison of the wake deflections ($y_0^c(x)$) for $\gamma = 0^\circ$, $10^\circ$, and $30^\circ$ tracked in XY planes at hub height $z/D = 0$ with yawed wake deflection model described in Ref. 21 (as given by Eq. (A2) in the Appendix). Error bars are obtained from the measured deflections for $\gamma = 0^\circ$ (see text).
direction of the disk wake. As will be seen below, the lateral deflection of the tower wake is a result of the spanwise mean velocity which below (and above) the rotor area points towards the positive y-direction.

An additional comment refers to the possible influence of the support structure (see Sec. II). The lack of influence can be seen and quantified, e.g., from the mean velocity distribution shown in Fig. 6 along vertical lines at $y/D = 0.5$ or 1.5, between $z/D = -1.5$ and 1. Mean velocity differences within these profiles are smaller than 2%, i.e., smaller than the hot-wire measurement uncertainty. Had there been flow interference effect due to the support structure at the bottom; one would expect vertical variations in these regions.

B. Spanwise velocity

Figure 7 shows the spanwise $u/U$ mean velocity distribution on the XY plane at hub height ($z = 0$). The velocity contours show the development of the strong spanwise velocity that deflects the wake of the turbine in yaw. The magnitude of the spanwise velocity near the centerline is relatively constant, about $(0.10-0.15)U_{\infty}$, until approximately $5D$, and then slowly decreases.

The spanwise velocity contour plots on YZ planes shown in Figure 8 for $x/D = 5$ and $x/D = 8$ suggest the mechanism for the development of the curled wake. In the center of the wake of the yawed turbine model, there is spanwise velocity consistent with the sideways thrust applied by the yawed model. The center spanwise (negative) $u$-velocity transports the initial
streamwise velocity defect towards the (negative) y-direction, the direction of the overall wake deflection. However, the degree of such “transport” is proportional to the \( t \)-velocity magnitude which decreases away from \( z = 0 \), thus leading to the curled shape of the wake. Interestingly, above the disk area, at \( |z|/D > 0.5 \), the \( t \)-velocity is positive, i.e., in the opposite direction of the implied transverse thrust. Such flow direction suggests that the tilted disk is generating vorticity (specifically, streamwise vorticity in the mean flow) at its top and bottom edges. The positive \( t \)-velocity regions on the top and the bottom of the rotor area transport the wake velocity defect in the opposite (positive \( y \)) direction, thus further enhancing the wake curling.

C. Turbulence intensity

The turbulence intensity (in %) is defined as \( TI_u = 100 \sqrt{\langle u'^2 \rangle / U_\infty} \) (i.e., normalized by the unique \( U_\infty \)) and is evaluated from the hot-wire data in YZ planes at \( x/D = 5 \) and \( x/D = 8 \). Resulting distributions are shown in Figure 9. As can be seen, the turbulence intensity distribution in the wake of the yawed porous disk model also shows the development of the curled wake phenomenon. The maximum turbulence intensity is at hub height at the center of the deflected wake, while the overall shape is curled.

V. LARGE EDDY SIMULATIONS

In order to explore whether the experimentally measured curled wake phenomenon is also present in numerical simulations, we perform Large Eddy Simulations of a yawed turbine under uniform inflow. We use the JHU LES solver which has been used in a number of prior wind
farm studies. The code is a low dissipation pseudo-spectral solver. It is pseudo-spectral in two dimensions with the z-direction employing second-order centered finite differencing. The Scale Dependent Lagrangian subgrid scale model is used. It has been compared to another LES code in Refs. and to single non-yawed turbine wake measurements in Ref. Present simulations are performed with a stress free boundary conditions on all side boundaries, with uniform, laminar inflow with $U_\infty = 12 \text{ m/s}$, $N_x, N_y$ and $N_z$ are 512, 128, and 256, respectively, with a domain size of $25D \times 5D \times 5D$. The resolution in z is twice the resolution in x and y to ensure consistent Reynolds stresses.39

The turbine is modeled with the actuator disk model as described in Refs. and and is placed at the center of the domain cross-section at $x = 5D$. A fringe region of 5% of the domain length was used to specify the inflow velocity in the context of the periodic x direction

FIG. 9. Streamwise turbulence intensity contour plots normalized by $U_\infty$ from hot-wire probe, at $x/D = 5, 8$ downstream. The disk area projected on the YZ plane is shown in green.

FIG. 10. Time averaged mean velocity contours normalized by the free stream velocity on an XY plane at hub height $z/D = 0$ obtained from ADM LES. The XY center of wake $y_c(x)$ is shown in magenta and (b) spanwise velocity at hub height.
boundary conditions of the code.\textsuperscript{37} The yawed forces are computed using the unit normal vector in each dimension from the turbine, as also done in Ref.\textsuperscript{21}. The disk thrust force is perpendicular to the disk area. The $c = 0^\circ$ yaw case is computed following $f = C_T \frac{1}{2} \rho A U_1^2$. The yawed thrust force is computed in the LES using: $f' = C_T \frac{1}{2} \rho A (U_\infty \cos \gamma)^2$ and the two horizontal force components are then calculated as: $f_{x}' = f' \cos \gamma$ and $f_{y}' = f' \sin \gamma$.

The wind turbine tower was modeled as a drag producing object which only forces the flow in the streamwise direction. The small forcing in the spanwise dimension is neglected. The tower diameter $d_T/D = 1/15$ was used to specify the drag force (the same diameter ratio as in the wind tunnel experiments), with a drag coefficient of $C_D = 1.44$. A Gaussian kernel\textsuperscript{39,45} was used for both the wind turbine actuator disk and the tower, with a kernel width of $\epsilon = 2\Delta x = 0.0391D$.

Figure 10 shows the mean streamwise velocity contours in the XY plane. The non-rotating disk wake deflection is thus confirmed numerically from the LES results, discussed in Sec. III. Moreover, we note from instantaneous plots (not shown) that the simulated wake becomes turbulent rather far downstream (not before $x/D \sim 7 - 8$). This differs from the experimental results which show that the wake behind the porous disk consisting of a grid as shown in Figure 1 is turbulent immediately. The turbulence occurs mostly at small scales initially comparable to the grid-spacing, thus helping to diffuse the wake more rapidly than in the simulations where the actuator disk applies a spatially uniform force. Several attempts were made to introduce random forcing at the rotor location to trigger earlier transition in the LES, but results were not satisfactory and dependent on the random forcing chosen. In most prior actuator disk model applications,\textsuperscript{21,35,37,38} the inflow to the turbine was highly turbulent already and thus natural transition of a laminar wake was not an issue as it is for the present configuration. Since

![FIG. 11. Streamwise velocity ($u/U_\infty$) contours from ADM LES with streamlines showing the vector components of the velocity field on the YZ plane for 1D, 3D, 5D, and 8D.](image-url)
our main objectives are on qualitative features of the wake deflection and its shape rather than on a detailed quantitative code/experiment validation for a wind turbine model in uniform inflow, further comparisons between the simulated and experimentally measured wake only refer to qualitative trends of wake deflection and wake curling.

The yawed ADM creates a set of counter-rotating vortices in the top and bottom of the rotor. This can be shown in Figure 11 where the streamlines represent the velocity field components in the YZ plane. As the wake evolves downstream, these counter-rotating vortices are responsible for shifting the wake from its center location. Further downstream, the wake obtains its curled wake shape. These vectors can only be seen in the LES simulations, where all the velocity components are computed. In the experimental measurements, the $w$-velocity, the component in the $z$ direction, is not measured.

Finally, we also perform a simulation of a wind turbine using ALM implementation. For simplicity, we use the previously simulated case of a 5 MW NREL turbine as described in Refs. 24 and 46. It does not include a tower. For additional details about ALM, see Ref. 39. The resulting streamwise velocity contours on cross-stream planes are shown in Figure 12. As can be seen, the curled wake is also present in LES using the ALM. However, the wake shape exhibits some dissimilarities with the ADM and the porous disk, since the rotor rotation now also introduces top-down asymmetry into the flow. Nevertheless, the center of the wake deflection is similar to that obtained from the LES using ADM as discussed in Sec. III, and the curling is also observed.

The differences between JHU LES ALM $\gamma_c(x)$ and NREL $\gamma'_c(x)$ can be seen in Figure 3 as well. Both simulations employ ALM to model the NREL 5 MW turbine described in Ref. 24. The wake deflection of the NREL study is significantly more than JHU LES ALM. There are
two likely main causes of this difference: (1) the NREL study considers the wake deflection only at hub height using a formulation similar to \( y'_{c}(x) \) and (2) the uniform inflow of JHU LES ALM likely exaggerates the curled features of the wake due to lack of turbulent mixing.

Due to the relevance of the spanwise velocity component upon the wake deflection and curling, it is anticipated that the wake shape will bear top-down asymmetries for rotating turbine models. The differences in spanwise velocity between rotating and non-rotating models are well documented in the literature.\(^{47,48}\) The resulting impact of the rotation is shown qualitatively in Figure 12. Due to the uniform inflow in these studies, it is likely that the effect of rotational effects on far-wake shape is exaggerated as compared to realistic operation in the ABL. Detailed quantitative analysis on the impact of rotational effects on the far-wake shape should be considered in future studies.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Wind tunnel measurements of non-rotating porous disk models have demonstrated that yawing produces a wake deflection consistent with what is expected for rotating real wind turbines. Furthermore, we have observed the formation of a curled wake, a phenomenon which has not yet been described in previous studies of wind turbines in yaw. The curling of the wake is consistent with the distributions of spanwise mean velocity in the wake, which shifts the wake defect velocity more strongly sideways near the wake center than at the top and bottom, where it is shifted in the opposite direction. Asymmetries and wake deformations have been previously described as a result of Ekman layer transverse shear in the atmospheric boundary layer.\(^{49,50}\)

LES results using both actuator line and actuator disk models confirm the experimental observations qualitatively. Quantitatively, significant differences exist because the simulated wakes under uniform laminar inflow do not transition quickly to a turbulent state (the resolution used was too coarse to resolve individual bars in the grid from which the disks were made). However, both simulations and experiments are able to confirm the existence of a curled wake phenomena for a yawed porous disk model under uniform inflow. The illustration in Figure 13 summarizes the curled wake morphology as observed in our results. As the wake evolves downstream, a set of counter rotating vortices shed from the yawed porous disk deform the wake, giving it its curled wake shape.

The curled wake shape has potential implications for the power optimization of wind farms using yawed wake deflection. Importantly, some previous studies have only considered XY planes at hub height to characterize the deflection of a turbine wake for the purposes of optimization. However, present data show that the wake of a yawed porous disk turbine model
exhibits asymmetry in 3D, and such 3D effects must be considered to better understand the effects of yaw as a wake deflection tool. Specifically, the curling may cause a wake to miss more of a downstream turbine as implied only by the deflection as measured by $y_c(x)$, since it may “wrap” around the downstream rotor.51

Future experiments should study the decay of curled wakes under turbulent inflow conditions, more relevant to atmospheric boundary layer conditions. It is possible that the turbulent diffusion of the wake curling depends on the turbulence intensity and thus the latter may be an important parameter for control also when attempting to include the wake curling phenomenon in power predictions. It also remains to study and verify the wake curling phenomenon in field studies.
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APPENDIX: JIMENEZ et al. ANALYTICAL WAKE DEFLECTION MODEL

The formulation based on Ref. 21 for the wake deflection of a turbine in yaw is as follows:

$$a(x) = \frac{dy_c}{dx} = \frac{\cos^2(\gamma) \sin(\gamma)}{C_T/2} \left(1 + \frac{\beta x}{D}\right)^2,$$

where $a$ is the wake skew angle, $\beta$ is the wake expansion factor (we used $\beta = 2k = 0.1$), since $k = 0.03 - 0.06$ has been shown to be a representative range.52 Integrating in x, as also done in Ref. 25 and using $y_c(x=0) = 0$ leads to

$$y_c(x) = \frac{1}{D} \cos^2(\gamma) \sin(\gamma) \frac{C_T}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta x/D + 1}\right).$$


