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MRS = \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} = \frac{1}{1 + r} > 1
\]

and we have the troublesome \( r < 0 \).

See Krugman (BPEA, 1998), where lower future output endowment is the source of declining consumption and higher MRS.

Eggertson and Woodford (BPEA, 2003) and Christiano, Eichenbaum, and Rebelo (JPE, 2011) get the Krugman effect from a decline in time preference \( \rho \).

This paper and Hall (AER 2011) rely on the more plausible Migraine Effect.
Eggertsson Effect

\[ r_n = r + \mathbb{E} \pi \]

and slackness causes a decline in \( \mathbb{E} \pi \) and thus a greater danger of the calamity of \( r_n = 0 \).
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Fisher Effect

A decline in the price level increases the real burden of debt service and stresses constrained households.

The immediate effect of a decline in the price and wage level on household cash flow is only the increase in the current real obligation.

It would be erroneous to think that the household suffers a decline in current real income equal to the increase in the real amount of its debt.
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When constrained consumers weather the stress of deleveraging and their consumption starts growing, the consumption of unconstrained consumers will need to start shrinking, thus triggering the Krugman Effect.

The classical migraine headache hits during the period of relief after a stressful experience.
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I am profoundly skeptical about the Eggertsson Effect, but not enough to stop worrying about it.

Fisher’s debt deflation had essentially no role in the Great Slump.
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The effect arises from Calvo incapacity of immediate response by price setters. When output falls, they know they want to cut prices but they have to wait for Calvo to give the OK. The result is a decline in expected inflation.

The paper refers to the paradox of flexibility but, with respect to the Eggertsson Effect, a better term would be the paradox of semi-flexibility—there’s no problem from fully flexible prices and none from completely sticky prices, but a profound problem from the prices that come out of the standard Calvo model and parametrization.

Inflation has only fallen a small amount in the Great Slump and that occurred early; inflation has stabilized above one percent.

This paper does not include the Eggertsson effect in its model.
Figure 14. Dynamic simulation of 4-quarter core PCE inflation from 2007Q4 to 2011Q3 computed using the unemployment recession gap model. Unemployment values from 2010Q3 through 2011Q3 are SPF median forecasts. All series are plotted as percentage point deviations from their values at the NBER peak. Dashes are mean predicted values, dots are 90% confidence bands.
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The evidence is overwhelming that deleveraging was a huge burden on households starting in 2007.

I calculate debt service $s_t$ as the sum of interest and repayment of debt from

$$s_t = \frac{r_{D,t-1} D_{t-1} - \Delta D_t}{p_t}$$

Consumption of constrained consumers is

$$\bar{c}_t = \bar{y}_t - s_t$$

The next 3 slides are from Hall (AER, 2011).
Real Burden of Debt Service

Based on actual price level
Based on counterfactual continuation of earlier inflation rate
Zero
Indexes of Lending Standards Inferred from the FRB Senior Loan Officer Survey
SHARE OF GOOGLE SEARCH QUERIES FOR THE TERM “WITHDRAWAL PENALTY”
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All recent ZLB papers treat unemployment as a free variable that takes over equating saving to investment when the bound disables the interest rate from that function.

But we also have the acclaimed DMP model of unemployment, which gives a different answer.