Maintaining peace across ethnic lines: new lessons from the past

Saumitra Jha* Harvard University

March 19, 2007

1 Introduction

In the year 1026, Mahmud of Ghazni led his cavalry down from the mountains of Afghanistan into the plains of India. His objective was the wealthy Hindu temple city of Somnath on the coast of Gujarat. Mahmud destroyed the temple and sacked the city, killing an untold number of the city's inhabitants. Ever since, Mahmud's raid on Somnath has been considered a pivotal event that polarised the Hindus and Muslims of India against one another (Alberuni 1030, Thapar 2004).

Yet, little more than two centuries after the raid, the authorities of the re-built Somnath temple gave permission for a Muslim trader, Nur-ud-din Firuz of Hormuz, to found a mosque on temple lands. Hindu temple authorities actively encouraged Muslims to settle and trade near the temple, benefitting from the commercial taxes that Middle Eastern trade would bring (Thapar 2004). This mixed settlement of Hindus and Muslims still exists, though the Middle Eastern trade has long diminished and local merchants sell fish, not frankincense.

In fact, throughout India, towns that traded to the Middle East in medieval times continue to show evidence of increased tolerance between Hindus and Muslims (Jha 2007b). Because Hindus and Muslims in medieval ports had much to gain from exchange with one another, over the course of a millennium they developed a set of norms and organisations, or "institutions" that fostered between-group exchange (Greif 2005). Many of these institutions continue to exist today, two centuries after heightened European involvement initiated the decline of Muslim-dominated Indian Ocean trade (Greif and

^{*}sjha@wcfia.harvard.edu, Harvard Academy, 1727 Cambridge St, Cambridge MA 02138. I owe particular thanks to Susan Athey and Avner Greif for insights and criticism.

Laitin 2004, Jha 2007b). Instead of being repositories of Hindu-Muslim hatred, Somnath and other medieval port towns provide a long history of inter-ethnic tolerance that yield important lessons on how such hatreds may be overcome.

This overview draws upon two studies, one empirical, one theoretical, to explore the lessons that medieval Indian Ocean trade provide for supporting ethnic tolerance in contemporary settings (Jha 2007b, Jha 2007a). The paper begins by sketching a theoretical framework to understand the incentives of agents to trade and engage in violence in ethnically-mixed regions. The paper then shows how the theory fits the particular case of trade in the medieval Indian Ocean. Finally, the paper explores how the theory can be used to understand why ethnic tolerance fails and how tolerance may be fostered in contemporary settings.

2 The determinants of ethnic tolerance.

At least as far back as Montesquieu in the 18th century, it has been argued that trade encourages "civility" between individuals, as loss of that trade renders any conflict more costly (Hirschman 1977). Yet, looking at the repeated tension and violence between local populations and commercially-oriented ethnic minorities both throughout history and in many different settings around the world suggests a very different story. From the Jews in medieval Western Europe to the Chinese in modern Indonesia and South Asians in modern East Africa, commercially-oriented ethnic minorities have often been targets of violence and expropriation (Benbassa and Rodrigue 2000, Chua 2003).

Why then did Muslim traders in medieval Indian ports enjoy an enduring environment of tolerance while many other commercial minorities did not? Jha (2007a) develops a simple model to address this question, and to uncover broader strategies for supporting ethnic tolerance. The model focuses on towns with two types of ethnic groups: "local" and "non-local", where non-local ethnic groups differ from local groups by having (slightly) better outside options. In the case of Muslim traders in medieval India, the "non-local" group enjoyed social and cultural ties to Arabia and the Middle East not enjoyed by the local Hindu population. These made it easier for Muslim traders to leave and go elsewhere than for "local" Hindus, for whom information and social ties were also concentrated locally.

In the basic model, each period individuals can choose to leave town, choose to trade a good that they produce and choose targets for violence. Violence is destructive, but can be used to seize some of the victim's property or to deter or punish particular actions. The capacity for violence is unevenly distributed, so that "strong individuals" – e.g. rulers

or mob bosses—find violence cheaper and thus more profitable. The paper focuses on finding strategies that support "peaceful co-existence" over time: no one prefers to leave or to engage in violence with a member of a different ethnic group.

The model reveals that three conditions need to be satisfied to maintain peaceful coexistence over time. First, there needs to be "complementarity" between ethnic groups, i.e. there are gains from exchange between them. Second, there needs to a high cost for either group to steal or duplicate the source of the others' complementarity. Third, there needs to be an effective mechanism to redistribute the gains from trade between groups (Jha 2007b, Jha 2007a). It is useful to intuitively explain why these conditions are important for supporting peaceful coexistence.

The first condition for supporting peaceful co-existence is that there be complementarities, rather than competition, between groups. Consider the opposite case: members of different ethnic groups provide substitute goods or services that compete with each other. Then, a "strong" local (for whom violence is cheap) will have an incentive to target non-locals with ethnic violence. Violence against non-locals not only allows a strong local to seize their property but also to drive non-locals out and reduce competition. Weak non-local competitors are more attractive targets of violence than weak local competitors, as locals are harder to drive out of town: they essentially have nowhere else to go. Thus, societies where locals and non-local ethnic groups compete are likely to exhibit greater ethnic violence.

In contrast, when ethnic groups provide complementary goods or services to one another, the incentive to attack non-locals diminishes. If non-locals leave as a response to violence, locals will face reduced supply and higher prices for goods that only non-locals can provide. The greater the inter-group complementarity, the more valuable the presence of non-locals and the lower the incentives for ethnic violence.

Complementarities between ethnic groups that live in close geographical proximity are difficult to maintain over time, however. If non-locals provide valuable services to locals then, over long time horizons, members of the local group will have incentives to replicate their production processes, or simply to violently seize the means of producing that complementary good. Thus, a second condition for supporting peaceful coexistence over time is that the sources of ethnic complementarity be costly to replicate or expropriate.

Yet, even this "robust" inter-group complementarity will be insufficient for ensuring peaceful coexistence. When non-locals constitute a minority and provide a complementary good or service, the restricted supply of that service lead non-locals to enjoy high

¹For a different explanation for why ethnic violence is more likely to happen than class violence, see Esteban and Ray (2007).

relative prices, and potentially even monopoly power. The resulting wealth inequalities between locals and non-locals lead to incentives by strong locals to target non-locals with violence to seize wealth and property. Thus a third condition to support peaceful co-existence is the need for an effective mechanism to redistribute the gains from trade.

Though a mechanism to redistribute the gains from trade from members of an non-local ethnic group to the local population is desirable for peaceful co-existence, it may fail to occur for two reasons. First, there is a public goods problem: the benefit of reduced incentives for ethnic violence is shared by all, but each individual would prefer that others pay. Thus redistributive transfers will be under-supplied in general. Second, what transfers do occur will be from rich non-locals to a particular set of locals: the "strong" (often incumbent political elites), as these have the lowest costs of engaging in violence. In fact, these transfers of protection money to rulers by non-local ethnic groups, sometimes called "ethnic cronyism", may actually provide perverse incentives for rulers to intermittently allow ethnic violence by poorer locals in order to extract greater transfers from non-local minorities. These sub-optimal outcomes can be improved upon by the introduction of explicit mechanisms to redistribute to the broader local population.

3 An example: religious tolerance in medieval India

Medieval Muslim traders in Indian ports were fortunate to benefit from a natural means to share the gains from trade that allowed them to satisfy all three conditions without requiring an explicit redistribution mechanism (Jha 2007b). First, Muslim traders provided complements to Hindu producers and financiers: access to the trade networks and markets of the Middle East. Second, because Muslim trade networks were coordinated along pilgrimage routes that were specific to Islam—such as the Hajj—they were largely closed to Hindus and could not be stolen or replicated. Third, Muslim traders benefited from an inherent redistribution mechanism: Middle Eastern trade was relatively easy for any Muslim to access. With pilgrimage routes coordinating where and when trade would occur, Muslims did not require personal ties or information to enter into trade (di Verthema 1503, Lombard 2000). This ease of entry for Muslims meant that competing groups of both immigrants and converts became traders whenever Indian prices were high. Such entry increased competition within the non-local minority and improved prices for locals. This natural mechanism of redistribution thus reduced incentives for locals to attack Muslims to seize wealth.

Over ten centuries of trade, Hindus and Muslims in overseas trading ports developed sets of norms and organisations that bolstered the incentives for peaceful co-existence. It is these "institutions" that persisted even after many medieval ports ceased to be commercially important following increased European involvement (Jha 2007b). Though the institutions themselves differed among medieval ports, the underlying economic logic was fairly consistent, and provide useful lessons for current policy aimed at peaceful co-existence. One set of institutions bolstered complementarities and opportunities for exchange between groups, while the other provided supplemental mechanisms to make explicit transfers of the gains from trade from non-locals to locals.

Complementarities and opportunities for between-group exchange were raised through a variety of means. First, medieval ports developed institutions to encourage different ethnic groups to specialise and punish the replication of others' complementarity. One example was that of "Kaala-paani" (literally "black water")— a community norm that punished Hindus who traded across the ocean with loss of caste and ostracism. Second, Hindus and Muslims in some ports developed joint guild organisations that jointly fostered overseas commerce. Third, Hindus and Muslims developed norms that fostered increased between-group interaction in social as well as economic spheres, that raised the opportunities for future interactions. These included a norm of joint celebrations of religious and other festivals.

A second set of institutions supported the mechanism of redistributing the gains from trade, both by encouraging Muslim immigration and conversion, but also through explicit transfers. Hindus were relatively more tolerant of conversion to Islam and the establishment of mosques in medieval ports than other Hindu-ruled towns (al Malibari 1528). Muslims, for their part, contributed taxes on commerce to Hindu temples, and in some cases explicitly endowed Hindu temples themselves (Bayly 1989, Thapar 2004, Jha 2007b).

4 Maintaining tolerance in other settings

The logic underlying peaceful coexistence between Hindus and Muslims in medieval ports and the supporting institutions that emerged can be readily applied to other historical and contemporary settings where non-local and local ethnic groups co-exist, both to understand why ethnic tolerance failed, and how tolerance may be fostered. The model suggests that ethnic violence is likely to occur when ethnic groups compete, when the source of inter-ethnic complementarity is easy for one group to expropriate or replicate, or when no mechanism exists to redistribute the gains from trade.

Competition between locals and immigrant groups for jobs is often cited as a reason for ethnic tension (Bates 1974). These tensions are most likely to arise in jobs that are

unspecialised and require either few or generally-available skills or inputs, since these are the least costly to enter. Yet, even non-local minorities who do not compete, but enjoy complementarities that stem from tangible assets, such as land, machines or other forms of physical capital, will face violence. These assets can be seized by strong locals, as white farmers in Zimbabwe discovered in the late 20th century.

Being impossible to violently expropriate, specialised skills do provide a better basis for inter-ethnic complementarity and tolerance, but even these can be replicated in the longer term. Minorities that have specialised skills can become increasingly attractive targets of violence if locals become able to duplicate those skills. The forced expulsion of Jews from Spain at the end of the 15th century was precipitated in part by prior conversions, both forced and voluntary, of Jews to Christianity. These "new" Christians provided the administrative skills to Spanish rulers for which they previously depended on the better-educated Jewish population (Benbassa and Rodrigue 2000). It is possible that the expansion of public education in Western Europe and US may also have had the unfortunate side-effect of raising the likelihood of violence against educated minority incumbents in skilled jobs by rendering them more replaceable by locals.

In contrast to physical and human capital, most ethnic trading networks are both difficult to steal—being intangible—and extremely costly to replicate. Because there are "network externalities"—the value of a trading network increases with the size of its membership—there will be high costs for any local to invest in a set of personal exchange relationships that would attain the scale necessary to compete with an ethnic trading network. Thus non-locals can use the privileged access to goods and services from ethnic ties elsewhere to provide the basis for sustained complementarity in mixed communities.

Like Muslim traders in medieval Indian ports, Sephardic Jews benefited from valuable trading networks in the 15th and 16th centuries that rendered them welcome arrivals in Ottoman ports in the Mediterranean. With links to Spain and the Atlantic economy, their immigration was actively encouraged by local Ottoman authorities and the city of Salonica in particular attracted a large number of Jewish refugees. A combination of permissive immigration and religious specialisation resulted in a long history of peaceful ethnic co-existence (Benbassa and Rodrigue 2000).² For the next four centuries, Ottoman Salonica, sometimes referred to as the "Mother of Israel", maintained a remarkable degree of ethnic tolerance, with Jews specialised in commerce (Mazower 2005).

While the trading networks of the Chinese in modern Indonesia and South Asians in modern East Africa also made them valuable to the local population, these groups

²A less attractive aspect of ethnic specialisation in the Ottoman empire was that it was strictly enforced by the state.

have also lacked a general mechanism of redistribution. Chinese and South Asian ethnic trading networks, based upon personal and community ties, were closed to competitors, and thus relatively small minority groups were able to capture much of the gains from trade. This rendered these minorities increasingly attractive targets for ethnic violence and susceptible to expropriation by "strong" locals (Landa 1994, Chua 2003).

One ethnic trading network, however, is remarkable in its relative success at maintaining peaceful and profitable coexistence with local populations in East Africa and elsewhere. The Nizari Ismailis, followers of the Aga Khan, have developed systematic mechanisms of explicit philanthropy that benefit the local population, including the provision of public goods, such as hospitals and schools, as well as organisations that explicitly match Ismailis and locals in joint business ventures (Penrad 2000). These mechanisms also often include commitments not to engage in corrupt practices that foster "ethnic cronyism". Though the Ismaili case is unusual in its level of organisation, it suggests that minority communities, acting on their own initiative, may benefit from organising explicit transfers and public goods provision.

5 Conclusions

In medieval Indian ports, Hindus and Muslims developed institutions that continue to support ethnic tolerance today. These institutions provide insights into how policymakers can encourage peaceful co-existence across ethnic lines. To encourage tolerance, methods that have been employed in medieval ports include the encouragement of specialisation within groups, the fostering of opportunities for repeated interaction in both economic and non-economic spheres, and the creation of institutionalised mechanisms to allow the sharing of the gains from trade.

All of these approaches may yield dividends for ethnic tolerance today. Educational systems that allow minority individuals the choice of leveraging the advantages of their group to engage in broader exchange, rather than promoting homogenisation of a town's human capital, may result in less competition and greater inter-group complementarity. An explicit and well-publicised system of transfers established by members of a minority community to the local population, in the form of earmarked taxes or endowed public goods, may be effective in improving between-group relations. Organisations that match members of different communities with complementary skills in the creation of joint business ventures may also be effective for improving ethnic relations. Trading networks may have afforded minority groups an important source of comparative advantage that rendered them valuable neighbours. Long after the decline of Indian Ocean trade, it may

be that we can apply some of their institutional learning to the fostering inter-ethnic peace today.

References

- AL MALIBARI, Z. A.-D. (1528): *Tohfut-ul-mujahideen*. Oriental Translation Fund of Great Britain, London, translated from the Arabic by Rowlandson, M.J. in 1833.
- Alberuni, A.-R. M. I. A. (1030): *Indica*. Munshiram Manoharlal, Delhi, 2005 edn., edited by Sachau, Edward C.
- BATES, R. H. (1974): "Ethnic competition and modernization in contemporary Africa," *Comparative political studies*, 6, 457–84.
- Baylly, S. (1989): Saints, goddesses and kings: Muslims and Christians in South Indian society 1700-1900. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Benbassa, E., and A. Rodrigue (2000): Sephardi Jewry: a history of the Judeo-Spanish community, 14th-20th centuries. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Chua, A. (2003): World on fire: how exporting free market democracy breeds ethnic hatred and global instability. Doubleday, New York.
- DI VERTHEMA, L. (1503): "The nauigation and voyages of Lewes Vertomannus, gentleman to the citie of Rome. Hakluyt, transl. from the Latin by Eden, Richard, 1576.
- ESTEBAN, J., AND D. RAY (2007): "On the salience of ethnic conflict," working paper, NYU.
- Greif, A. (2005): Institutions and the path to the modern economy: lessons from medieval trade. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Greif, A., and D. D. Laitin (2004): "A theory of endogenous institutional change," *American Political Science Review*, 98(4), 633–52.
- HIRSCHMAN, A. O. (1977): The passions and the interests: political arguments for capitalism before its triumph. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- JHA, S. (2007a): "A theory of ethnic tolerance," Harvard Academy.
- ———— (2007b): "Trade, institutions and religious tolerance: evidence from India," Harvard Academy.
- LANDA, J. T. (1994): Trust, ethnicity and identity: beyond the institutional economics of ethnic trading networks, contract law and gift-exchange. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.
- LOMBARD, D. (2000): "Introduction," in (Lombard and Aubin 2000), chap. 1.

- LOMBARD, D., AND J. AUBIN (eds.) (2000): Asian merchants and businessmen in the Indian Ocean and the China Sea. Oxford University Press, Delhi.
- MAZOWER, M. (2005): Salonica, City of Ghosts. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.
- PENRAD, J.-C. (2000): "The Ismaili presence in East Africa: a note on its commercial history and community organization," in (Lombard and Aubin 2000), chap. 17, pp. 223–238.
- Thapar, R. (2004): Somanatha: the many voices of a history. Penguin, New Delhi, India.