# Introduction to Optimization Theory

Lecture #3 - 9/22/20 MS&E 213 / CS 2690



Aaron Sidford sidford@stanford.edu



## **Lecture Plan**



## Thursday

- Smoothness revisited
- Convexity

### <u>Goal</u>

- Objective function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$
- Constraint set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

(Next few lectures, unconstrained  $S = \mathbb{R}^n$ )

• Optimize

 $\min_{x\in S\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n}f(x)$ 

provably efficiently with few assumptions

## Access to f?

• Through an "oracle"



**Goal**  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$  given by an oracle provably efficiently with few assumptions

#### Minimize? Progress Measure?

 $\epsilon$ -(sub)optimal point or a point with  $\epsilon$ -additive function error:

•  $x \in S$  s.t.  $f(x) \le f_* + \epsilon$  where  $f_* = \min_{x \in S} f(x)$ 

#### $\epsilon$ -critical point:

• 
$$x \in S$$
 s.t.  $\|\nabla f(x)\|_2 \le \epsilon$  where  $\|y\|_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in [n]} y_i^2}$ 

#### **Efficency**?

- Oracle complexity = #calls to oracle
- Runtime = # oracle calls × (average computational cost per call)

### **Iterative Method Approach**

- Start at initial point  $x_0$
- For t = 0, ..., T 1
  - Query oracle
  - Take "local step" to obtain  $x_{t+1}$
  - Repeat
- Output aggregation of the  $x_t$

#### e.g.

- Last iterate:  $x_{T-1}$
- Average iteration:  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k \in [T-1]} x_k$

### <u>Analysis</u>

- Oracle complexity = # iterations
- Runtime = # iterations \* cost per iteration (iteration complexity)

## **Recap: setting #0: impossible**

- $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  (one dimensional)
- Have evaluation oracle (can compute f(x) with 1 query)
- Promised  $\exists x_* \in [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = f_* = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} f(y)$
- Promised  $f(x) \in [0,1]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- Goal: compute 1/2-optimal point
  - i.e. compute x with  $f(x) \le f(x_*) + 1/2$
- Question: what oracle complexity achievable?
- Answer:  $\infty$  is optimal

We will discuss this lower bound more formally today.

0

0

 $\chi_*$ 

**Problem**: oracle gives only pointwise information, no local information.



### Solution:

- This is a class on *continuous* optimization
- Today: assume more structure and analyze a working method

Last class discussed how continuity is not enough and will prove today.

## **Recap: assuming more structure**



(bounded slope) (bounded 1<sup>st</sup> derivatives)

 $\frac{f \text{ is } L_2\text{-Lipschitz}}{\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2 \le L_2 \|x - y\|_2}$ for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 



(bounded 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative)

## **Recap: Gradient Descent Method for Critical Points**

#### Algorithm / Method (for L-smooth f)

- Initial point:  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- For k = 0, 1, 2, ...

• 
$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_k)$$

• If  $\|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2 \le \epsilon$  then output  $x_k$ 

#### <u>Theorem</u>

 $\epsilon$ -critical point in  $\leq 2L[f(x_0) - f_*]/\epsilon^2$ steps / queries for  $f_* = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$ 



**Today**:  $\epsilon$ -(sub)optimal points



## Setting #1: 1d-Lipschitz Function Minimization

- $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  (one dimensional)
- Have evaluation oracle (can compute f(x) with 1 query)
- $\exists x_* \in [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = f_* = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} f(y)$
- $f(x) \in [0,1]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- f is L-Lipschitz with respect to  $\ell_\infty$
- **Goal**: compute  $\epsilon$ -optimal point for  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$



- Question #1: what oracle complexity achievable?
- Question #0: what does L-Lipschitz mean? Imply?

## **L-Lipschitz Function**

f is L-Lipschitz w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|$  if  $|f(x) - f(y)| \le L ||x - y||$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

- $\Leftrightarrow -L ||x y|| \le f(y) f(x) \le L ||x y||$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $\Leftrightarrow f(x) L ||x y|| \le f(y) \le f(x) + L ||x y||$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- If n = 1 and  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_p$  (i.e.  $\|x\| = \|x\|_p = (|x|^p)^{1/p} = |x|$ ) then  $\Leftrightarrow f(x) - L|d| \le f(x+d) \le f(x) + L|d|$  (slope at most L)



## Setting #1: 1d-Lipschitz Function Minimization

- $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  (one dimensional)
- Have evaluation oracle (can compute f(x) with 1 query)
- $\exists x_* \in [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = f_* = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}} f(y)$
- $f(x) \in [0,1]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- f is L-Lipschitz with respect to  $\ell_\infty$
- Goal: compute  $\epsilon$ -optimal point for  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$



- Question #1: what oracle complexity achievable?
- Question #0: what does L-Lipschitz mean? Imply?

# Setting #1:

**Theorem**: there is method with query complexity  $[L/\epsilon]$  for setting #1

### <u>Algorithm</u>

- Pick  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
- For  $i \in [k] = \{1, ..., k\}$ 
  - Let  $x_i = \frac{i}{k}$
  - Query  $f(x_i)$  for all  $i \in [k]$
- Return  $x_{out} = \underset{x_i}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x_i)$



# Setting #1:

**Theorem**: there is method with query complexity  $[L/\epsilon]$  for setting #1

## <u>Algorithm</u>

- Pick  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
- For  $i \in [k] = \{1, ..., k\}$ 
  - Let  $x_i = \frac{1}{k}$
  - Query  $f(x_i)$  for all  $i \in [k]$
- Return  $x_{out} = \underset{x_i}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x_i)$

## <u>Analysis</u>

• 
$$x_* \in \left[\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}\right]$$
 for some  $i \in [k]$ 

• 
$$\exists i_* \in [k] \text{ s.t. } \left| x_* - \frac{i_*}{k} \right| \le \frac{1}{k}$$

• 
$$\left|f(x_{i_*}) - f(x_*)\right| \le L \left\|x_* - \frac{i_*}{k}\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{L}{k}$$

• 
$$f(x_{i_*}) \leq f_* + \frac{L}{k}$$

- $f(x_{\text{out}}) \le f(x_{i_*})$
- $k \ge L/\epsilon \Rightarrow f(x_{out})$  is  $\epsilon$ -optimal

Improvements?

Lower bound?

# Setting #1:

## <u>Algorithm</u>

- Pick  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
- For  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ 
  - Let  $x_i = \frac{\iota}{k}$

Improvements?

• Query  $f(x_i)$  for all  $i \in [k]$ 

*Lower bound?* 

• Return  $x_{out} = \underset{x_i}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x_i)$ 

**Theorem**: there is method with query complexity  $1 + \lfloor L/2 \epsilon \rfloor$  for setting #1

## <u>Analysis</u>

• 
$$x_* \in \left[\frac{i-1}{k}, \frac{i}{k}\right]$$
 for some  $i \in [k]$ 

• 
$$\exists i_* \in \{0, ..., k\}$$
 s.t.  $\left| x_* - \frac{i_*}{k} \right| \le \frac{1}{2k}$ 

• 
$$|f(x_{i_*}) - f(x_*)| \le L ||x_* - \frac{i_*}{k}||_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{2k}$$

• 
$$f(x_{i_*}) \leq f_* + \frac{L}{2k}$$

- $f(x_{\text{out}}) \leq f(x_{i_*})$
- $k \ge L/(2\epsilon) \Rightarrow f(x_{out})$  is  $\epsilon$ -optimal

# Lower bound proof strategy

Called a <u>resisting oracle</u>

### Arbitrary Algorithm

- For k = 1, ..., K
  - Compute point x<sub>k</sub> based on previous oracle output (and randomness)
  - Query oracle at  $x_k$
- Output a point x<sub>out</sub> based on previous points, oracle

Any algorithm must take at least *K* steps.



#### Lower Bound Strategy

- From oracle output at  $x_1, ..., x_{k-1}$  specify oracle output at  $x_k$ .
- Show that there are two valid functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  consistent with oracle output on  $x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}$  with no common valid output point.

Algorithm outputs incorrect answer on either f or g.

# Setting #0

- $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  via evaluation oracle
- $\exists x_* \in [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = f_*$
- $f(x) \in [0,1]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- Goal: compute ½ -optimal point

<u>Candidate f<sub>i</sub></u>

For all  $z \in [0,1]$  let

$$f_z(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq z \\ 0 & x = z \end{cases}$$

• Note:  $f_{z_1}$  and  $f_{z_2}$  have disjoint ½-optimal points for  $z_1 \neq z_2$ 

#### Arbitrary Algorithm

- For k = 1, ..., K
  - Compute point x<sub>k</sub> based on previous oracle output (and randomness)
  - Query oracle at  $x_k$
- Output a point x<sub>out</sub> based on previous points, oracle

Any algorithm must take at least *K* steps.

#### Lower Bound Strategy

• From oracle output at  $x_1, ..., x_{k-1}$  specify oracle output at  $x_k$ .

#### Output = 1

• Show that there are two valid functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  consistent with oracle output on  $x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}$  with no common valid output point.

 $f_{z_1}$  and  $f_{z_2}$  for any  $z_1 \neq z_2$ with  $z_1, z_2 \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ 

Since holds for all K, an infinite number of steps are needed.

# Setting #1

f: ℝ → ℝ via evaluation oracle
∃x<sub>\*</sub> ∈ [0,1] such that f(x) = f<sub>\*</sub>
f(x) ∈ [0,1] for all x ∈ ℝ
f is L-Lipschitz w.r.t || · ||<sub>∞</sub>
Goal: compute ε-optimal point

• 
$$f_{z,\alpha}(x) = \min\{1, -\alpha + L|x-z|\}$$

### <u>Claims</u>

- x' is  $\epsilon$ -optimal for  $f_{z,\alpha}$  for  $\alpha > \epsilon$  if and only if  $|x' - z| \le L/\epsilon$
- $f_{z,\alpha}$  is *L*-Lipschitz w.r.t  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$

### Lower bound idea

• If oracle outputs 1 and not enough queries, consistent with two  $f_{z,\alpha}$ 



Valid functions with disjoint  $\epsilon$ -optimal points.

# Setting #1

- $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  via evaluation oracle
- $\exists x_* \in [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = f_*$
- $f(x) \in [0,1]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- f is L-Lipschitz w.r.t || · ||<sub>∞</sub>
   Goal: compute ε-optimal point
- $f_{z,\alpha}(x) = \min\{1, -\alpha + L|x-z|\}$

### <u>Claims</u>

- x' is  $\epsilon$ -optimal for  $f_{z,\alpha}$  for  $\alpha > \epsilon$  if and only if  $|x' - z| \le L/\epsilon$
- $f_{z,\alpha}$  is *L*-Lipschitz w.r.t  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$

### Lower bound idea

• If oracle outputs 1 and not enough queries, consistent with two  $f_{z,\alpha}$ 



Upper bound was 
$$\frac{L}{2\epsilon}$$
 + 1. Can we improve?  
**Lower Bound**  
At least  $\frac{L}{4\epsilon}$  - 2 queries are needed

## Lower bound proof

- Algorithm makes *K*-queries
- Can partition [0,1] with  $\leq K + 1$ intervals so points are on boundary
- At least one interval is length at least 1/(k + 1)
- If length is >  $4\epsilon/L$  then there are two  $f_{z,\alpha}$  consistent with disjoint  $\epsilon$ -optimal points
- $\bullet \Rightarrow k+1 > L/4\epsilon$

# Improve

- $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  via evaluation oracle
- $\exists x_* \in [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = f_*$
- $f(x) \in [0,1] \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$
- *f* is L-Lipschitz w.r.t || · ||<sub>∞</sub>
   Goal: compute ε-optimal point
- Algorithm also fails if there are two disjoint intervals of length  $> 2\epsilon/L$
- To succeed the total length of the intervals (1) satisfies

$$< k\left(\frac{2\epsilon}{L}\right) + \frac{4\epsilon}{L}$$

• 
$$k \ge \frac{L}{2\epsilon} - 2$$

• Correct answer up to an additive 3!!!



Upper bound was 
$$\frac{L}{2\epsilon}$$
 + 1. Can we improve?  
**Lower Bound**  
At least  $\frac{L}{4\epsilon} - 1$  queries are needed

## Lower bound proof

- Algorithm makes *K*-queries
- Can partition [0,1] with  $\leq K + 1$  intervals so points are on boundary
- At least one interval is length at least 1/(k + 1)
- If length is >  $4\epsilon/L$  then there are two  $f_{z,\alpha}$  consistent with disjoint  $\epsilon$ optimal points
- $\bullet \Rightarrow k+1 \geq L/4\epsilon$

# **Setting #2: Higher Dimensions**

## <u>Algorithm</u> ( $\epsilon$ -net)

- Pick  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
- Query  $\left(\frac{i_1}{k}, \frac{i_2}{k}, \dots, \frac{i_k}{k}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}$  for all possible  $i_j \in [k]$
- Return point of minimum value



## <u>Analysis</u>

- $\forall i \in [n], \exists j \in [k] \text{ s.t. } \left| x_*(i) \frac{j}{k} \right| \le \frac{1}{k}$
- $\exists q \text{ queried s.t. } \|x_* q\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{k}$
- $f(q) \le f(x_*) + \frac{L}{k}$
- Point output is  $\frac{L}{k}$ -optimal
- $k^n$  queries are made
- $\left[\frac{L}{\epsilon}\right]^n$  -queries suffice
- How do we avoid this large dependence on dimension?

Optimal up to constants!  $((cL/\epsilon)^n$  queries are needed)

- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ via evaluation oracle} \\ \exists x_* \in [0,1] \text{ such that } f(x) = f_*$
- $f(x) \in [0,1]$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- f is L-Lipschitz w.r.t  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$
- Goal: compute  $\epsilon$ -optimal point

