

What Does Open Science Mean Today?

Victoria Stodden
Department of Statistics
Columbia University

NFAIS/CENDI Workshop
“Open Science: Driving Forces and Practical Realities”
Library of Congress
November 12, 2013

Transparency Crucial to Science

- not a new concept, rooted in *skepticism*
- Robert Boyle, Transactions of the Royal Society 1660's
- Transparency in knowledge transfer -> goal to perfect the *scholarly record*. Nothing else.
- Technology has changed the nature of experimentation, data, and communication.



Today: Computation is Becoming Central to Scientific Research

1. enormous, and increasing, amounts of data collection:

- CMS project at LHC: 300 “events” per second, 5.2M seconds of runtime per year, .5MB per event = 780TB/yr => several PB when data processed,
- Sloan Digital Sky Survey: 9th data release (SDSS-III 2012), 60TB,
- quantitative revolution in social science due to abundance of social network data (Lazier et al, *Science*, 2009)
- Science survey of peer reviewers: 340 researchers regularly work with datasets >100GB; 119 regularly work with datasets >1TB (N=1700, Feb 11, 2011, p. 692)

2. massive simulations of the complete evolution of a physical system, systematically varying parameters,

3. deep intellectual contributions now encoded in software.

Scientific Perspective

- “Really Reproducible Research” inspired by Stanford Professor Jon Claerbout:

“The idea is: An article about computational science in a scientific publication is *not* the scholarship itself, it is merely *advertising* of the scholarship. The actual scholarship is the complete ... set of instructions [and data] which generated the figures.” David Donoho, 1998.

Credibility Crisis

One study (Ioannidis (2011)): 9% of authors studied made data available

JASA June	Computational Articles	Code Publicly Available
1996	9 of 20	0%
2006	33 of 35	9%
2009	32 of 32	16%
2011	29 of 29	21%

Generally, data and code not made available at the time of publication, insufficient information in the publication for verification, replication of results. ***A Credibility Crisis***

Updating the Scientific Method

Argument: computation presents only a *potential* third branch of the scientific method:

- Branch 1 (deductive): mathematics, formal logic,
- Branch 2 (empirical): statistical analysis of controlled experiments,
- Branch 3,4? (computational): large scale simulations / data driven computational science.

The Ubiquity of Error

- The central motivation for the scientific method is to root out error:
 - Deductive branch: the well-defined concept of the proof,
 - Empirical branch: the machinery of hypothesis testing, structured communication of methods and protocols.
- Computational science as practiced today does not generate reliable knowledge. “Breezy demos” of results.

Sharing: Funding Agency Policy

- NSF grant guidelines: “NSF ... **expects investigators to share** with other researchers, at no more than incremental cost and within a reasonable time, **the data**, samples, physical collections and other supporting materials created or gathered in the course of the work. It also encourages grantees to **share software** and inventions or otherwise act to make the innovations they embody widely useful and usable.” (2005 and earlier)
- NSF peer-reviewed Data Management Plan (DMP), January 2011.
- NIH (2003): “The NIH **expects** and supports the timely release and **sharing of final research data** from NIH-supported studies for use by other researchers.” (>\$500,000, include data sharing plan)

NSF Data Management Plan

“Proposals submitted or due on or after January 18, 2011, must include a supplementary document of no more than two pages labeled ‘Data Management Plan.’ This supplementary document should describe how the proposal will conform to NSF policy on the dissemination and sharing of research results.” (<http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/dmp.jsp>)

Software management plans appearing.. (BigData joint NSF/NIH solicitation)

2013: Open Science in the Obama Administration

- Feb 22: Executive Memorandum directing federal funding agencies to develop plans for public access to data and publications.
- May 9: Executive Order directing federal agencies to make their data publicly available.

Science Policy in Congress

- America COMPETES due to be reauthorized, drafting underway,
- Hearing on Research Integrity and Transparency by the House Science, Space, and Technology Committee (March 5).
- Reproducibility cannot be an unfunded mandate.

Legal Barriers: Copyright

“To promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries.” (U.S. Const. art. I, §8, cl. 8)

- Original expression of ideas falls under copyright *by default* (papers, code, figures, tables..)
- Copyright secures exclusive rights vested in the author to:
 - reproduce the work
 - prepare derivative works based upon the original
 - limited time: generally life of the author +70 years

Exceptions and Limitations: Fair Use.

Response from Within the Sciences

The *Reproducible Research Standard (RRS)* (Stodden, 2009)

- A suite of license recommendations for computational science:
 - Release media components (text, figures) under CC BY,
 - Release code components under Modified BSD or similar,
 - Release data to public domain or attach attribution license.

➔ Remove copyright's barrier to reproducible research and,

➔ Realign the IP framework with longstanding scientific norms.

Winner of the Access to Knowledge Kultura Award 2008

Copyright and Data

- In the US raw facts are not copyrightable, but the original “selection and arrangement” of these facts is copyrightable. (Feist Publns Inc. v. Rural Tel. Serv. Co., 499 U.S. 340 (1991)).
- the possibility of a residual copyright in data (attribution licensing or public domain certification).
- Copyright adheres to raw facts in Europe.
- Law doesn't really match reality on the ground: What constitutes a “raw” fact anyway?

Toward Openness in Science

- Science Policy must support scientific ends: Reliability and accuracy of the scientific record.
- Facilitate Reproducibility - the ability to regenerate published computational results (data and code availability, alongside results).
- Need infrastructure to (minimally) facilitate (1):
 1. deposit/curation of data and code,
 2. link to published article,
 3. permanence of link.

Science Policy

- “Open Data” is not well-defined. Scope: Share data and code that *permit others in the field to replicate published results*. (traditionally done by the publication alone).
- Data and code availability at the time of publication.
- Public access. “With many eyeballs, all bugs are shallow.”
- Need infrastructure/software tools to facilitate (2): Data/code suitable for sharing, created *during the research process*.

Sharing: Journal Policy

- Journal Policy snapshots June 2011 and June 2012:
- Select all journals from ISI classifications “Statistics & Probability,” “Mathematical & Computational Biology,” and “Multidisciplinary Sciences” (this includes Science and Nature).
- $N = 170$, after deleting journals that have ceased publication.

Journal Data Sharing Policy

	2011	2012
Required as condition of publication, barring exceptions	10.6%	11.2%
Required but may not affect editorial decisions	1.8%	5.9%
Encouraged/addressed, may be reviewed and/or hosted	20.5%	17.6%
Implied	0%	2.9%
No mention	67.1%	62.4%

Source: Stodden, Guo, Ma (2013) PLoS ONE, 8(6)

Journal Code Sharing Policy

	2011	2012
Required as condition of publication, barring exceptions	3.5%	3.5%
Required but may not affect editorial decisions	3.5%	3.5%
Encouraged/addressed, may be reviewed and/or hosted	10%	12.4%
Implied	0%	1.8%
No mention	82.9%	78.8%

Source: Stodden, Guo, Ma (2013) PLoS ONE, 8(6)

Findings

- Changemakers are generally journals with high impact factors.
- Progressive policies are not widespread, but being adopted rapidly.
- Close relationship between the existence of a supplemental materials policy and a data policy.
- Data and supplemental material policies appear to lead software policy.

Tools for Computational Science

- Dissemination Platforms:

ResearchCompendia.org

IPOL

Madagascar

MLOSS.org

thedatahub.org

nanoHUB.org

Open Science Framework

RunMyCode.org

- Workflow Tracking and Research Environments:

VisTrails

Kepler

CDE

Galaxy

GenePattern

Paper Mâché

Sumatra

Taverna

Pegasus

- Embedded Publishing:

Verifiable Computational Research

Sweave

Collage Authoring Environment

SHARE

A Grassroots Movement

- AMP 2011 “Reproducible Research: Tools and Strategies for Scientific Computing”
- Open Science Framework / Reproducibility Project in Psychology
- AMP / ICIAM 2011 “Community Forum on Reproducible Research Policies”
- SIAM Geosciences 2011 “Reproducible and Open Source Software in the Geosciences”
- ENAR International Biometric Society 2011: Panel on Reproducible Research
- AAAS 2011: “The Digitization of Science: Reproducibility and Interdisciplinary Knowledge Transfer”
- SIAM CSE 2011: “Verifiable, Reproducible Computational Science”
- Yale 2009: Roundtable on Data and Code Sharing in the Computational Sciences
- ACM SIGMOD conferences
- NSF/OCI report on Grand Challenge Communities (Dec, 2010)
- IOM “Review of Omics-based Tests for Predicting Patient Outcomes in Clinical Trials”
- ...

References

- “Toward Reproducible Computational Research: An Empirical Analysis of Data and Code Policy Adoption by Journals,” PLoS ONE, June 2013
- “Reproducible Research,” guest editor for Computing in Science and Engineering, July/August 2012.
- “Reproducible Research: Tools and Strategies for Scientific Computing,” July 2011.
- “Enabling Reproducible Research: Open Licensing for Scientific Innovation,” 2009.

available at <http://www.stodden.net>