

Isis bibliography – version of 2 August 2006

Assembled by Patrick McConvell and Arnold Zwicky

- Andersen, Gisle. 2002. Corpora and the double copula. In Leiv Egil Breivik & Angela Hasselgren (eds.), *From the COLT's mouth... and others': Language corpora studies: In honour of Anna-Brita Stenstrom* (Amsterdam: Rodopi), 43-58.
- Bolinger, Dwight L. 1987. The remarkable double *Is*. *English Today* 9.39-40.
- Brenier, Jason M. & Laura A. Michaelis. 2005. Prosodic optimization via syntactic amalgam: Syntax-prosody mismatch and copula doubling. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory* 1.45-88.
- Coppock, Liz; Jason Brenier; Laura Staum; & Laura Michaelis. To appear. ISIS: It's not disfluent, but how do we know that? *BLS* 32.
- Jehn, Richard Douglas. 1979. That's something that I wouldn't want to account for, is a sentence like this. *Calgary WPL* 5.51-62.
- Massam, Diane. 1999. *Thing is* constructions: the thing is, is what's the right analysis? *English Language and Linguistics* 3.2.335-52.
- McConvell, Patrick. 1988. To be or double be? Current changes in the English copula. *Australian Journal of Linguistics* 8.287-305.
- McConvell, Patrick. 2004. Catastrophic change in current English: Emergent Double-be's and Free-be's. Talk at Australian National University. Slides available at: <http://ldc.upenn.edu/myl/anubbppt3.pdf>
- Ross-Hagebaum, Sebastian. 2005. "And that's my big area of interest in linguistics is discourse" - The forms and functions of the English *that's X is Y*-construction. *BLS* 30.403-14.
- Shapiro, Michael & Michael C. Haley. 2002. The reduplicative copula *is is*. *American Speech* 77.3.305-312.
- Tuggy, David. 1996. The thing is is that people talk that way. In Eugene Casad (ed.), *Cognitive linguistics in the redwoods: The expansion of a new paradigm in linguistics* (Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter), 713-52.
- Zwicky, Arnold M. 2003. *Isis* inaugurates Memorial Day weekend. Talk at Stanford Isisfest 5/23/03. Handout available at: <http://www-csli.stanford.edu/~zwicky/isisfest.pdf>

Mentions in the usage literature:

- Cochrane, James. 2004. *Between you and I: A little book of bad English*. Naperville IL: Sourcebooks. Entry on "is repeated", pp. 68-9.
- Garner, Bryan A. 2003. *Garner's modern American usage*. [2nd ed. of Garner 1998] NY: Oxford Univ. Press. Entry on "is is", p. 469.
- Wallraff, Barbara. 2000. *Word court*. NY: Harcourt. Discussion of "double *is*", pp. 66-8.
- Walsh, Bill. 2004. *The elephants of style*. NY: McGraw-Hill. Entry on "is is", pp. 195-6.

Net discussions of the construction:

- ADS list, May, October, and December 2001
LINGUIST list, 1992: 3.10, 3.18, 3.29, 3.44, 3.56

LINGUIST list, 2001: 12.1904

LINGUIST list, 2004: 15.150, 15.427, 15.518, 15.535, 15.560

Melon Colonie blog, Jackson Ninly, 16 January 2004

sci.lang newsgroup, September-October 2001

Language Log postings:

Mark Liberman, 27 June 2004. The thing is is people talk this way. The question is is why?

The answer is is (drumroll please)...:

<http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/001123.html>

Mark Liberman, 29 June 2004. Isis Fest, with emergent free-bees:

<http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/001135.html>

Adam Albright, 29 June 2004. A bird in the hand is, is...:

<http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/001139.html>

Arnold Zwicky, 5 July 2004. Isis bibliography:

<http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/001164.html>